

Livin' on the Wedge, or JWST/NIRCam Bar Coronagraphy

William Balmer (JHU/STScI, wbalmer.github.io), Laurent Pueyo, Jens Kammerer, Marshall Perrin, Julien Girard, Jarron Leisenring, Kellen Lawson, Geoff Bryden, Chas Beichman, & the JWST-TST



Giant planets emit a significant fraction of their total flux between 3-5 microns, a wavelength range shaped by molecular species that are sensitive tracers of atmospheric physics and composition. JWST/NIRCam (1-5 micron) houses two types of coronagraph: round and bar masks, with their associated Lyot stops. The round masks have been used to great success following the commissioning [1] and ERS [2] programs that demonstrated the WFE stability of the telescope contributes to exceptional post-processing starlight subtraction performance. The round masks $(IWA=6\lambda/D)$ have been predominately deployed in Cycles 1-3 because of their unbiased field of view and deeper contrast at wide separations, but in principle the bar masks (IWA=4 λ /D) provide up to an order of magnitude better contrast at key spatial separations where giant planets are found [3]. There is potentially even greater performance to be gained by ignoring the filter dependent positions along the bar and using a shared-risk "narrow" position that decreases the IWA by 2-4x [4]. The JWST-TST has used GTO to observe two emblematic direct imaging systems to characterize the performance of this mode and shed new light on their atmospheres





Figure 4: Post-KLIP images. Left HR 8799 bcd, Middle HR 8799 e, Right 51 Eri b



Early atmospheric modeling shows that the chemistry of each atmosphere is in disequilibrium (as anticipated). The HR 8799 planets each have different [F410M]-[F430M] and [F410M]-[F460M] colors, in particular there is a gradient from b, c, d with bluer 4-5 micron slopes, indicating potential composition or mixing differences between the planets moving radially outwards. Our best fitting models for 51 Eri b are consistent with evolutionary tracks for 2Mj at 16,24 Myr. 51 Eri b astrometry favors eccentric orbits (e=0.6), but what could drive that high eccentricity?

References: [1] Girard et al. 2022 SPIE, [2] Carter et al. 2023 ApJ, [3], Kammerer et al. 2022 SPIE, [4] Perrin et al. 2018 SPIE