

Forward optical model

At the telescope, the propagated field past a starshade mask: $U(x,y) \sim \mathcal{F}\left(f_{\lambda,d}(\zeta,\eta)s(\zeta,\eta)e^{\frac{j\pi}{\lambda z}(\zeta^2+\eta^2)}\right)\left[\frac{x}{\lambda z},\frac{y}{\lambda z}\right]$

Starshade optical propagation requires fine sampling of the mask to sample the oscillatory chirp term, and accurately simulate contrast below 10^{-10} .

Validation

Comparison with alternate simulation approaches *Diffraq* (err $< 10^{-16}$). Comparison with an approximation of the analytic Fresnel diffraction for a circular mask via a truncated expansion of Lommel variables (err $< 10^{-6}$).





Efficient simulation with a Bluestein FFT

PyStarshade uses a Bluestein FFT to calculate arbitrary spectral samples of a DFT: $ZP \cdot N_S - 1$ X[k] =

Case study 1: Modeling finite stellar diameter (our Sun 0.7 mas at a distance of 10 pc) starlight suppression is reduced compared to ideal point source.





A Bluestein FFT indirectly uses FFTs to obtain complexity $O((N_s^2 + N_{out}^2) \log(N_s + N_{out}))$ with no zero-padding! Furthermore, can perform chunked FFT's (parallelizing both input and output) avoiding memory bottlenecks when performing large 2D FFT's.

Case study 2: Assessing realistic starshade imaging performance for both the NGRST and HabEx starshades on physically plausible exoplanetary scenes simulated with exoVista which include dust, and planets within the IWA.

Future work entails using PyStarshade on ensembles of scenes to simulate PSF data and develop PSF model-approximation and calibration.

> github.com/xiaziyna/PyStarshade pip install pystarshade

