Atmospheric Escape and Mass Loss

Hilke E. Schlichting (UCLA)

Sagen Summer School Caltech

27th July 2023

Image credit: NASA/JPL



NASA/Exoplanet Archive

Super-Earths & Sub-Neptunes



Densities consistent with rocky composition for $R_p < 1.6 \text{ R}$ (e.g. Weiss et al. 2014, Rogers 2015) Slope: $\frac{d \log R_p}{d \log P} = -0.11$ (Martinez et al. 2019) -0.09 (Van Eylen et al. 2018) Slope: $\frac{d \log R_P}{d \log M_*} \approx 0.26$ (Berger et al. 2020)

> Most abundant Planets in Galaxy know to date (e.g. Fressin et al. 2013, Petigura et al. 2013)

Gas Accretion



 $R_B < R_P$ $R_B \sim R_P$ $R_B > R_P$

Bondi Radius $R_B \sim GM_p/c_s^2$

Core Temperature $T_c \simeq GM_p \mu / k_B R_c \simeq 10^4 - 10^5 K$

Envelope Accretion:





Accretion by cooling (e.g. Inamdar & Schlichting (2015), Lee & Chiang (2015))

$$f \approx 0.02 \left(\frac{M_c}{M_{\oplus}}\right)^{0.8} \left(\frac{T_{\rm eq}}{10^3 \text{ K}}\right)^{-0.25} \left(\frac{t_{\rm disk}}{1 \text{ Myr}}\right)^{0.5} \left(\frac{\kappa}{1 \text{ cm}^2 g^{-1}}\right)^{-0.5}$$

 $f \ only \ depends \\ logarithmically \ on \ \rho_{disk}$

Spontaneous Evaporation/Boil-off due to Disk dispersal

$$\frac{E_{\rm evap}}{E_{\rm cool}} \sim \left(\frac{R_{\rm rcb}}{R_c}\right)^{-1/2}$$

- Cooling of inner envelope can blow off the outer atmosphere
- Lose 25% (γ =1.2) to 70% (γ =7/5) of envelope mass
- R_{rcb} shrinks to ~ few R_c on t~ few t_{disk}
- sets initial condition for thermal evolution models

$$f_{\rm semi-thin} \approx 0.01 \left(\frac{M_c}{M_{\oplus}}\right)^{0.44} \left(\frac{T_{\rm eq}}{10^3 \,\mathrm{K}}\right)^{0.25} \left(\frac{t_{\rm disk}}{1 \,\mathrm{Myr}}\right)^{0.5}.$$



e.g. Ikoma & Hori 2012, Owen & Wu 2016, Ginzburg, Schlichting & Sari 2016

Photo-evaporation VS Core-powered Mass-loss



e.g. Owen & Wu (2014, 2017)

e.g. Ginzburg et al. (2018), Gupta & Schlichting (2020)



Probing Core-Compositions



Gupta & Schlichting 2019

 Location of valley is determined by core composition -> core-powered mass-loss & photoevaporation consistent with rocky 'earth'-like cores + most cores are water/ice poor ~ at most 20%

$$t_{loss}^B \propto \exp(GM_p / (c_s^2 R_{rcb})) \propto \exp(R_p^3 P^{1/3} \rho_{c*}^{4/3}) \longrightarrow \text{Location of valley} \qquad -4/9$$

scales as

Core-Powered Mass-loss Prediction:



Berger et al. 2020, see, e.g., also David et al. 2021

Gupta & Schlichting 2020

Most Super-Earths from over a 0.5-1 Gyrs timescale -> Can observe atmospheric mass-loss from Sub-Neptunes turning into Super-Earths today

Majority of Super-Earths formed with H/He envelopes & have bulk densities similar to Earth



Signatures of atmospheric-mass loss on Mass-Radius relation





Planets at 500 K



Planets at 500 K



Rogers, Schlichting and Owen (2023)



Rogers, Schlichting and Owen (2023)

Conclusions:

1) Atmospheric Mass-loss plays an active role in shaping the small exoplanet populations

2) Atmospheric Mass-loss needs to be accounted for when modeling the M-R relation of sub-Neptunes

3) Majority of Super-Earths formed with H/He envelopes & have bulk densities similar to Earth

Comparison with Observations



- 1) Core-powered mass-loss mechanism depends on the bolometric luminosity
- 2) Slope of the valley is set by $t_{loss} = t_{cool}$

$$t_{loss}^{B} \propto \exp(GM_{p} / (c_{s}^{2}R_{rcb})) \propto \exp(R_{p}^{3}P^{1/3}\rho_{c*}^{4/3})$$
$$\longrightarrow \quad \frac{d \log R_{p}}{d \log P} = -\frac{1}{9} \simeq -0.11$$

3)
$$t_{loss}^B \propto \exp(GM_p / (c_s^2 R_{rcb})) \propto \exp(R_p^3 T_{eq}^{-1})$$

 $L_{\star} \propto M_{\star}^4$
 $\xrightarrow{d \log R_P}{d \log M_*} = 0.28$