

Host-star Metallicity of Directly Imaged Planets

C.Swastik *1, Ravinder K Banyal¹, Mayank Narang², P. Manoj², T. Sivarani¹, Bacham E. Reddy¹ & S.P. Rajaguru¹

¹Indian Institute of Astrophysics, Bangalore 560034 ²Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Mumbai 400005,



ABSTRACT

Planets discovered in wider orbits ($\geq 10 \text{ AU}$) by high-contrast imaging belong to a different region of star-planet parameter space. The star-planet properties and their interdependence is well known for a large number of planetary systems discovered by radial velocity and transit methods. However, host-star properties of the directly imaged planets (DIP) are not very well documented in exoplanet literature. In this work we used high-resolution spectra from public archives to uniformly determine the atmospheric parameters and metallicity of 18 DIP hosts. The total 22 DIP hosts (other 4 taken from literature) analyzed in this work show a large scatter in metallicity with median being closer to the Sun. Upon dividing our stellar sample into three mass bins, we noticed a decreasing metallicity trend with increasing mass from Jupitertype planets $(M_P \le 5M_J)$ to super-Jupiter ($5M_J < M_P \le 13M_J$)) and beyond $M_P > 13$.

Exoplanets: What do we know?

4296.

Sample selection

- Number of confirmed exoplanet discoveries till date is
- Most planetary systems are compact (d < 0.1 AU, and</p> orbital period \sim 10-12 days).
- ^{\bigcirc}Super earth (M ~ 5M⊕, R~1.25-2 R⊕) are most commonly occurring planets.
- Different detection methods probe different region of star/planet parameter space.
- Planets are generally found around metal-rich stars. High metallicity stars tend to host high mass planet up to $M \sim 10^3 M_{\odot}$



- For the present work we analysed 18 DIP host stars for which the spectra was taken the from public archives.
- We obtained high-resolution, high-SNR spectra for 14 targets from ESO science archive facility and for 4 targets from Keck archive. For four DIP host stars the metallicity value is taken from the literature.
- For the remaining 23 DIP hosts analysis was not possible because either the spectra was not available or the quality of the data was poor (low-SNR). This group also includes some of the hot and very rapidly rotating stars ($v \cdot \sin i > 160^{\text{Km/s}}$), which do not have clear spectral features and reliable atmospheric models for parameter estimation.

Methodology



References

1.Fischer & Valenti, 2005, AJ, 622:1102-1117 2.Petrigua et al, 2017, AJ, 154:107

Period [days]

Figure 1: Exoplanet discoveries by different methods and their location in stellar mass -orbital period diagram. The position for solar-system planet is also shown for comparison.

Directly imaged planets

Young and warm (self-luminous) Direct detection at infrared wavelengths. Requires : large telescope + adaptive optics + stellar chronograph Imaging + spectroscopy is possible for both planet and star

Total 51 DIPs detection around 45 stars

10²

Age(Myr)

 10^{0}

 10^{-1}



We have used iSpec⁵ to generate synthetic spectrum together with Bayesian analysis to obtain the posterior distribution of the stellar parameters.

iSpec is a python wrapper which bundles several radiative transfer codes, model atmosphere and line list in single module to obtain the stellar parameters from both synthetic spectral fitting (SSF) and equivalent width (EW) technique.

Radiative Tranfer code	SPECTRUM
Line List	VALD
Solar Abundances	Asplund 2009
Atmosphere Model	Atlas 9 : Castelli
Spectral segment selected	515-520 nm / 600-620 nm
	590-596.5 nm/ H_{α}

Results

Fig 5: Posterior distributions of stellar parameters for HR2562, obtained from MCMC analysis (40 chains, 300 steps, a burn-in limit at 140 steps).

Metallicity correlation

We have estimated the metallicity using iSpec and MCMC and the planet mass was taken form the Nasa Exoplanet archive to study the correlation between them to investigate the possible clues for planet formation at such large orbital distances.



3.Ma & Ge, 2014, MNRAS, 439, 2781–2789 4. Santos, N.C. et al, 2017, A&A, 603, A30 5.Blanco-Cuaresma, S. et at, 2014, A& A, 569, A111 6.Narang, M. et al, 2018, AJ, 156, 5, 221

*Contact

Swastik Chowbay Indian Institute of Astrophysics Email: swastik.chowbay@iiap.res.in

Exoplanet Demographics NExSci 9th - 13th November, 2020



6000 8000 10000 2000 4000 10^{3} 10^{4} Temperature(K)

ц

Fig 2: Histograms of orbital distance and mass of directly imaged systems (top panel) with age and temperature distribution of their stellar hosts (bottom-panel).

Objective

Directly imaged planets (DIP) and their host stars are not well studied together as a separate population, unlike the host stars discovered by other techniques such as radial velocity and transits¹².

The DIP are located at large orbital distances from their host stars. Studying the planetary mass - stellar metallicity correlations will help us to understand the possible formation scenarios of these objects at such large orbital distances^{3 4}.



Fig 3 : The observed metallicity [Fe/H] distribution of 22 stars known to host directly imaged planets. The dashed lines represent the median and the 1st and 3rd quartiles of the distribution.



Fig 4 : A narrow slice showing the results of synthetic spectral fitting technique for HR2562. Blue: Original spectra, yellow: Synthetic spectra spectra. Red: Residuals

$M_P(M_l)$

Fig 6 : The distribution of mass of directly imaged planets and the host-star metallicity. The dotted-line indicates $5M_J$ and dashed-line indicates $13M_I$ boundary. The colorbar to the right represents the orbital distance in AU.

Conclusions

Our results suggest that low-mass giant $(M_P \leq 5M_I)$ planets tend to have metal-rich hosts. This is in line with the predictions of planet formation via core accretion mechanism⁶. As the planet mass increases $(M_P > 5M_I)$, we find a more scatter in the distribution of stellar metallicity, suggesting that metallicity might not play a crucial role in the formation of these planets, which is in agreement with the gravitational instability model. Our analysis suggests two planet formation scenarios for DIP host stars, with low-mass giant planets likely formed by core accretion process while the high-mass giant planets are likely formed by disk instability method.

Acknowledgement

This study has made use of data from ESO and Keck data archive facilities. We gratefully acknowledged this service.