### Accurate, Empirical Radii and Masses with *Gaia*: Eclipsing Binaries and Transiting Planets

### Daniel J. Stevens Know Thy Star Conference October 11, 2017

Image Credit: Walter Benjamin







### Know Thy Star, Know Thy Planet

Precise, accurate stellar characterization necessary for:

- Planet hunting
- Atmosphere studies
- Bulk compositions



- M dwarf hosts:
- MEarth measures <5% radius ratios -- accuracy matters
- Discrepancies between models and observations

### M Dwarf Models: Too Small, Too Hot

- Underpredict radii by 5-10%
- Overpredict *T*<sub>eff</sub> by similar amount





#### Two questions:

- What internal processes are models not capturing?
- What role do binary interactions have?

## Characterizing Single-lined EBs

- Includes transiting exoplanet systems
- Hundreds found by transit surveys
  - Many more with TESS!
  - $\rightarrow$  >100s of M dwarfs at interesting periods

Combine parallax, SED, eclipses, RVs for "model-independent" masses and radii

### Parameters from Single-lined EBs

- Measure period *P*, depth δ, durations T, τ
   → a/(R<sub>1</sub>+R<sub>2</sub>), R<sub>2</sub>/R<sub>1</sub>
   Infer density ρ:
- $\rho \approx \frac{3\pi}{GP^2} \left(\frac{a}{R1}\right)^3 f(eccentricity)$ 
  - RV semiamplitude K

$$M_2 = G^{-1/2} \frac{KP^{2/3}}{\sin i} M_1^{2/3} f(eccentricity)$$

No individual masses or radii; only combinations



### Gaia Parallaxes Give Stellar Radii

# • Fit for (or measure) bolometric flux &



 Can be performed in bulk for bright stars\*
 GALEX, Tycho-2, UCAC4, 2MASS, WISE: All-sky & data already exist...

> \*e.g. Stevens, Stassun, & Gaudi (subm.)



- Highly inflated Saturn around retired A star
- Can test stellar models & empirical relations:



Parameter Spitzer+Ground+Torres Spitzer+Ground+Final Gaia

Stellar Mass (M $_{\odot}$ )	1.44 ± 0.07	1.62 ± 0.05
Stellar Radius ( $R_{\odot}$ )	> 2.69 ± 0.04	<b>3σ</b> 2.790 ± 0.008
Planet Mass (M <sub>Jup</sub> )	0.171 ± 0.013	0.199 ± 0.019
Planet Radius (R <sub>Jup</sub> )	1.35 ± 0.10	1.45 ± 0.08

## Ex: KELT F+M Binary



# A Preliminary Result

- Assuming <1% parallax:
- $R_1$ : 1.74  $R_{\odot}$  (1.1%) •  $R_2$ : 0.23  $R_{\odot}$  (2.8%)
- M<sub>1</sub>: 1.74 M<sub>☉</sub> (17%)
   (16% density)
- M<sub>2</sub>: 0.26 M<sub>0</sub> (10%)



The future is bright...

### The Precision of TESS

Example F+M Binary: 1-2% density from eclipse

Flicker: log(g) to 0.1 dex Granulation timescale: g to 4% Secondary eclipses:  $T_{eff}$  to 1-2%



### Precise SEDs with Gaia & SPHEREx

- Gaia (2020): 330-1050nm spectrophotometry
- SPHEREx\* (20<u>20s)</u>: 0.75-5µm • ~All the flux from FGK dwarfs • M dwarf SED peak

\*Doré et al. (2016; (arXiv:1606.07039)



### Ex: KELT-3 with SPHEREx

Now:

6% F<sub>bol</sub> Ο 4% R<sub>1</sub> 0 14% M<sub>\*</sub>  $\bigcirc$ SPHEREx+ Gaia: <2% F<sub>bol</sub> <1% R<sub>1</sub> 0  $(<0.1\% T_{eff})$ **TESS**:

2% density
<4% M,</li>





### The Next Era of Precision Stellar Astrophysics

- From transit false positives to benchmark systems
  - Test stellar models & other measurement methods
  - Constrain planetary compositions
  - Probe star-planet relationships
- Expect major improvements in precision and accuracy
  - soon: Gaia, TESS, SPHEREx (Brendan Crill's talk tomorrow)
  - later: PLATO, CHEOPS...

# T<sub>eff</sub> & Duration Dominate Errors



*Gaia* parallaxes contribute negligibly.

T<sub>eff</sub> contributes significantly:

$$R_{1} \sim T_{eff}^{-2}$$
$$M_{1} \sim R_{1}^{-3}$$
$$\rightarrow M_{1} \sim T_{eff}^{-6}$$

Precise ingress durations: tough from the ground...