# Relating Exoplanet Properties and Host Star Compositions using High Resolution NIR Spectroscopy



### Johanna Teske



in collaboration with

Robert Wilson, Steven Majewski, Katia Cunha, Verne Smith, Diogo Souto, Chad Bender, Suvrath Mahadevan, Nicholas Troup, Carlos Allende Prieto, Keivan Stassun

> Cayman Unterborn, Wendy Panero, Scott Hull, Jennifer Johnson

# **Small Planets Are Diverse\***













## Two Distinct Orbital Period Regimes Inferred from Host Star [Fe/H] measured with APOGEE-2



Planet Orbital Period

Wilson, Teske et al., submitted





[Fe/H]<sub>star</sub> vs. Period<sub>planet</sub> [Fe/H] dust dust [Fe/H] X gas gas [Fe/H] [Fe/H] [Fe/H] [Fe/H] X **Teske #knowthystar** 







# Looking Ahead to TESS

## Looking Ahead to TESS



7<Hmag<11. Awarded 6 nights

= 25-30 plates x 200 stars/plate.

# ESSAPOGEE-2S &<br/>Beyond!Join us!AS4 -> AS4All-Sky Synoptic Spectroscopic Survey

PI Juna Kollmeier 1st ever all sky, multi-epoch survey w/ both NIR and optical spectroscopy.

Initial survey plan, credit Gail Zasowski



# A cautionary note about stellar spectroscopy... it's a bit of a (dark) art.

- Keith Hawkins

| Test  | Expected $\sigma$ dex | Max σ<br>dex | Min σ<br>dex   | comment   |
|---|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|---|
| 1. Line list  | 0.05                  | 0.6          | 0.0            | EW are not affected by position of core of line<br>but number of HFS components might be important                  |
| 2. Continuum  | 0.3                   | 0.6          | 0.05           | absolute abundance is very dependent<br>but methods should not re-normalise   |
| 3. HFS  | 0.08                  | 0.4          | 0.0            | differences in abundances between HFS:N and<br>HFS:Y are similar for all methods                                    |
| 4. <i>v</i> <sub>mie</sub>                                  | 0.2                   | 1.2          | 0.01           | maximum difference corresponds to 1 km/s range in v <sub>mie</sub> ,<br>dependency decreases when HFS is considered |
| 5. $\alpha$ -enhancement                                    | 0.02                  | 0.1          | 0.001          | cool stars are more affected than metal-poor warm stars   |
| 6. Atmosphere model interpolation                           | 0.01                  | 0.04         | 0.002          | obtained from models with 1% difference in temperature and 5-10% difference in gas pressure.                        |
| 7. Blends   | 0.02                  | 0.1          | 0.0            | maximum difference is found for<br>EW methods for cool dwarf star   |
| <ul><li>8.1. Same EWs</li><li>8.2. Same syntheses</li></ul> | 0.02<br>0.07          | 0.06<br>0.12 | 0.001<br>0.001 | weak lines are more affected<br>convolution is more important than the choice of mask                               |

#### e.g., Jofré et al. 2017

# Take Aways





Host star abundances<sup>†</sup> can help constrain when/where/from what material planets formed. <sup>†</sup>If in doubt, find a <del>Parseltongue</del> stellar spectroscopist.

NIR spectroscopy from APOGEE-2 +ASPCAP = reliable FGK dwarf star [Fe/H] at ~0.05 dex level!

We find a correlation between planet *P* and  $[Fe/H]_{star}$  characterized by  $P_{crit}$ =8.5 days, with **shorter period planets orbiting more metal rich stars.** Maybe this is related to Fulton radius gap (shorter period = smaller)?

AS4+TESS\* have the potential to significantly expand our knowledge of (small) planet formation and composition.

\*Ask me about the caveat.