Towards Better Planet Occurrence Rates from Kepler and K2

Andrew Vanderburg NASA Sagan Fellow The University of Texas at Austin

Sagan/Michelson Fellows Symposium November 9, 2017

Collaborators





Andy Mayo

Harvard undergrad -> Copenhagen Univ. Fulbright -> UC Berkeley grad (Fall 2018)

Chris Shallue

Google Brain

Outline

- Planet occurrence rates and where we stand now
- Neural networks and how we can use them to identify planets
- Spectroscopy of K2 candidates to measure the planet radius distribution



Image: Oliver Hardy and Wikimedia









Completeness

























































Completeness



























































Reliability



Reliability





















































Kepler is incomplete and unreliable at the limits of its sensitivity.



Thompson+2017

Burke+2015

Kepler is incomplete and unreliable for Earth-sized planets in Earth-like orbits.



Thompson+2017

Burke+2015

There is an order of magnitude uncertainty on the occurrence of Earths around Sun-like stars



Our Approach



- Increase sensitivity (and therefore completeness) by allowing weaker signals to be considered as planet candidates, at the cost of a higher false positive rate.
- 2. Use deep learning to more effectively distinguish real signals from false alarms and false positives, keeping reliability high.

Our Approach



- 1. Increase sensition, the sense of a higher false positive rate.
- 2. Use deep learning to more effectively distinguish real signals from false alarms and false positives, keeping reliability high.

Deep Learning

Given some image/vector as input, perform math operations which convert the image to an output. Church



The operations are "learned" by minimizing some cost function which compares data from a training set to their known classification.

Image: <u>deeplearning.net</u>

Inputs: binned and phasefolded *Kepler* light curves



Global View: shows phase-variations, secondary eclipses, etc

Local View: close-up look at the transit





Network Architecture

Logistic (sigmoid) output layer





Results

	AUC	Accuracy
Our work	0.988	0.960
Coughlin et al. (Robovetter)	0.974	0.974
Armstrong et al.		0.87
McCauliff et al. (Autovetter)	(0.997)* not held-out results	(0.986)* not held-out results

Comparable to industry standards like Robovetter, but work necessary to incorporate other information as inputs (difference images, quarterly depth variations, etc.)

Proof of concept search of Kepler multiplanet systems



Can we study planet occurrence with the K2 mission?

Stars in open clusters



Metal rich stars

Image Credit: ESO / Serge Brunier, Frederic Tapissier

Kepler

How do planetary systems vary in different galactic environments?

Occurrence rates with K2 are challenging for several reasons, including inhomogenous stellar parameters.



Image: Oliver Hardy, Wikimedia, NASA

Spectra of 275 K2 candidates from Whipple Observatory (Mt. Hopkins)



Spectra of 275 K2 candidates from Whipple Observatory (Mt. Hopkins)

Andy Mayo

Harvard undergrad -> Copenhagen Univ. Fulbright -> UC Berkeley grad (Fall 2018)

Planet Candidate Vetting and Validation





Planet Radius Distribution



Mayo, Vanderburg+ (in prep)

Planet Radius Distribution



Mayo, Vanderburg+ (in prep)

Planet Radius Distribution



Mayo, Vanderburg+ (in prep)

Fulton+2017

Conclusions

- We have used deep neural networks to vet Kepler planet candidates and achieved good results in a proof-of-concept test.
- By lowering detection thresholds and compensating for the increased number of false positives, we can improve Kepler's sensitivity to weak transit signals. We have detected new planets in Kepler multi-planet systems using this strategy.
- We are working towards occurrence studies with K2 data by carefully characterizing and vetting planet candidates and their host stars. We can see the first hint of the gap in the planet radius distribution in K2 data.

Backup Slides

TCE 5956342-4

















Raw Light Curve



Fit Normalization B-Splines



Normalized Light Curve



Time

Planet Transits (7 day period)



Individual Transits



Google

Time

Phase Folded



Time (mod p)

Running Median



Running Median

