





#### Towards 10<sup>10</sup> Contrast for Terrestrial Exoplanet Detection: Coronography Lab Results and Wavefront Control Methods

Ruslan Belikov, Jeremy Kasdin, David Spergel, Robert J. Vanderbei, Michael Carr, Michael G. Littman, James Beall, Amir Give'on, Jason Kay, Laurent Pueyo

Princeton University National Institute of Standards and Technology

> Michelson Fellows Symposium August, 2005

## **TPF-C**







#### • 2015-2020 ??

- Detection
  - 35 core nearby stars (150 extended mission)
  - Distance from star: 0.7-1.5 a.u.
  - Surface area: 0.5 of Earth and greater
- Characterization
  - Orbit, distance
  - Photometry: size, rotation
  - Spectroscopy: atmosphere, water
  - Life
- General Astrophysics







### Outline

# Pupil apodization methods Shaped Pupils Phase-Induced Amplitude Apodization Laboratory results and simulations The real challenge: broadband wavefront control in phase and amplitude





#### Outline

Pupil apodization methods
Shaped Pupils
Phase-Induced Amplitude Apodization
Laboratory results and simulations
The real challenge: broadband wavefront control in phase and amplitude





## **Pupil Apodization Overview**



- Main selling points:
  - Performance competitive with more conventional Lyot-style coronagraphs
  - Simple to manufacture
  - Inherently broadband
  - Minimally sensitive to aberrations
  - No off-axis degradation of PSF





## The Optimization Problem

Find an apodization function A(r) that solves:

maximize  $E(0) = \int_0^{\frac{1}{2}} A(r) 2\pi r dr$ 

subject to  $-10^{-5}E(0) \le E(\rho) \le 10^{-5}E(0), \ \rho_{iwa} \le \rho \le \rho_{owa}$ 

$$0 \le A(r) \le 1$$
  $0 \le r \le 1/2$ 

Performance metrics

- Size of dark region, i.e. inner/outer working angles  $\rho_{iwa}$  and  $\rho_{owa}$
- Contrast  $C(\rho) = \frac{E^2(\rho)}{E^2(0)}$ • Airy throughput  $\frac{2\pi \int_0^{\rho_{iwa}} E^2(\rho)\rho d\rho}{\pi (1/2)^2} = 8 \int_0^{\rho_{iwa}} E^2(\rho)\rho d\rho$





## $\rho_{iwa} = 1.24$ Clear Aperture: The Airy Pattern

 $T_{airy} = 84.2\%$ 





No dark zone







0.7 · 0.6 · 0.5 · 0.4 · 0.3 · 0.2 ·

$$\rho_{iwa} = 4$$

$$T_{airy} = 9\%$$



#### Excellent dark zone Unmanufacturable











#### **Excellent** dark zone Impossible to manufacture





## 1-D Prolate Spheroidal (Slepian 1963)









## Analytic solution via calculus of variations

#### **Theoretically optimal for 1-D Unmanufacturable**









#### **Optimal across x-axis Very narrow opening**<sup>11</sup>





## **Other Designs**















- Simpler to manufacture and less polarization sensitivity than e.g. masks with a lot of openings
- Central obstruction can be used for secondary





#### Alternative: Phase Induced Amplitude Apodization



Olivier Guyon, astro-ph/0412179v1, 2005

- Unproven and somewhat controversial method
- High risk, high reward
- Main advantage: very little light loss
- Potential problems:
  - Fresnel effects
  - Off-axis degradation
  - Difficulty in manufacture
  - Polychromatic corrections





#### Outline

## Pupil apodization methods Shaped Pupils

Phase-Induced Amplitude Apodization

#### Laboratory results and simulations

The real challenge: broadband wavefront control in phase and amplitude





## Elliptical Mask Manufacturing



- Manufactured by NIST
- Commercial Si wafer
  - 76 mm diamter
  - 320 micron thick
  - 1-10 ohm cm, p-type, B doped
  - <1-0-0> oriented
- Double-sided Deep Reactive Ion Etch (DRIE) to make holes
  - First etch: wafer thinned to 50 micron thickness around openings
  - Second etch: through etch to complete holes





#### Laboratory Mask



- Mask made to flight quality (precision < 1 micron)
- Efficient, repeatable process
- Future masks will feature metalized coating and undercut edges.









18

#### Our Recently Completed Laboratory



- Clean room
- 1.2 x 5 m vibration-isolated optical bench
- Enclosure to eliminate thermal convection, air turbulence, particulate contamination, and stray light





## **Optical Layout**



- Shaped pupil mask illuminated by simulated starlight
- CCD placed at first focus (f/60)
- Image-plane mask (bowtie mask) placed on CCD chip.





#### **Contrast Measurement at 633nm**





Contrast:
 ~10<sup>-5</sup> @ 4 λ/D
 <10<sup>-6</sup> @ 7 λ/D





#### Cause of Speckle: Mirror Aberrations



#### **Surface Figure on Large Telescope Optics**



HST / WFPC2 (model)

At left: State of the art for surface figure errors at the critical spatial frequencies has changed little since **HST** despite advances in mirror construction and modern polishing technologies.

At right: Control of optical scatter due to surface figure errors on large telescope mirrors with dimensions is the dominant engineering issue for high contrast imaging applications.

HST / WFPC2 (data)

1.5-meter Kodak lightweighted mirror 2.4-meter HST primary mirror 6.5-meter Magellan primary mirror

0.01

Surface Figure PSDs for representative large mirrors

squared 107 centimeters 106 105 104 squared 103 10<sup>2</sup> in angstroms 101 10-DSD 10-2 10-3 10-4

1010

109

108

0.1 spatial frequency in cycles/centimeter





#### Simulation of Phase Aberrations



measurement





- Simulation based on 1/f random-noise phase aberrations for  $\lambda/20$  mirrors
- Confirms mirror phase aberrations are dominant cause of our speckle





#### Contrast Measurements for Red (633nm) and Green (532nm)





- Focal plane color image
  - Green image contracted with respect to red, by exactly the correct factor

- Green image stretched to match λ/D scale of red image (normalized WA).
  - Speckle pattern similar for low IWA for the 2 wavelengths





#### Comparison of Red and Green Contrast





#### Physical scale

Normalized WA scale

Contrast levels in red and green are the same
Speckle pattern is similar for small WA

NASA-

#### **TPF @ Princeton**



## White Light Results







- Shaped Pupils are Broadband
- Contrast in white light is roughly the same as for monochromatic
- Speckle structure is similar to monochromatic case for low IWA





#### Outline

# Pupil apodization methods Shaped Pupils Phase-Induced Amplitude Apodization Laboratory results and simulations The real challenge: broadband wavefront control in phase and amplitude





## The Real Challenge: Wavefront Control



Requirement:  $\lambda$ /10,000

Requirement: 1 / 1,000





## **Deformable Mirrors**

#### **Boston Micromachines**

Xinetics













## Conventional Wavefront Estimation and Correction

**Does not correct** amplitude distortions Contro **Measurements** System are taken at the pupil plane **Cannot correct** these elements



Courtesy Claire Max, CfAO





## **Types of Amplitude Errors**

- Reflectivity nonuniformity of mirrors (grey)
- Mask errors (grey)
- Phase induced amplitude error (chromatic)
- Unmodeled physics (polarization effects, fresnel and vector diffraction, etc.)











#### λ–Dependent (Chromatic) Amplitude Errors

- Proposed solutions
  - Place DMs at each conjugate location
  - Operate in multiple narrow bands (Roger Angel)
  - Put shaped pupil in front of the primary and actuate primary and secondary mirrors (Roger Angel)
  - Live with it and use difference imaging techniques to subtract speckle





#### Conclusions

- The Princeton TPF team has designed the Shaped Pupil Coronagraph as a solution to the high contrast problem
- Investigating the PIAA approach
- Experimental demonstration of high contrast limited only by quality of optics

 The main challenge is wavefront control, phase and amplitude in white light. The TPF group at Princeton is developing methods, algorithms, and significant laboratory capability to do wavefront estimation and correction to the required levels