The next step: Coronagraphy with JWST



Marshall Perrin

Space Telescope Science Institute Telescope Optics Group JWST Coronagraphs Working Group Based on work by *many* people across the JWST Project international collaboration: NASA, ESA, CSA & many more

Exoplanet Science Questions for JWST

What are the properties of giant planets in terms of atmospheres, composition, temperature, radius? Formation histories?

What are the compositions of circumstellar disks? How common are ices?

How common are lower mass planets on wide orbits? (sub-Jupiter mass)

> Tests of planet formation mechanisms? Hot start vs cold star,t, core accretion vs instability.

What are the characteristics of sub-Neptunes and super-Earths?

What about free floating planets?

How do planets and debris disks interact?



Outline

• JWST:

- Telescope Design
- Project Status
- Exoplanet Imaging with JWST:
 - Stability and active wavefront control in space
 - NIRCam coronagraphs
 - MIRI coronagraphs
 - NIRISS aperture masking interferometry

JWST as a telescope



	Hubble	Spitzer	JWST	
Primary diameter	2.4	0.85	6.6	
Collecting Area (m	4.24	0.5	26.3	
Observatory Mass (kg)	11,000	860	6,300	
Observatory Volume, when stowed (m	190	13	155	
Orbit Location	LEO	Earth-trailing solar	Sun-Earth L2	

"Pre-1980" telescopes



- Thick mirrors (100s of kg/m²)
- Passive stability
- Slow optics (> f/3)
- Equatorial mounts

"Modern" telescopes



- Lightweight mirrors (10s of kg/m²)
- Active & adaptive wavefront control
- Fast optics (< f/3)
- Altitude-azimuth mounts
- Frequently segmented



JWST Observatory Design





- Passively cooled, open telescope
- Beryllium optics, gold coated Lightweight and stiff, very low CTE
- Three Mirror Anastigmat

Relatively wide field diffraction limited performance.

• Fine steering mirror driven by FGS at 15 Hz

7 mas rms pointing control

 Baffles for stray light at intermediate image and focal planes.

JWST's Science Instruments



JWST Schedule



Flight Instruments Delivered

Complete Instrument Module with Flight Instruments

ISIM into Space Environment Simulator for ongoing cryovac tests (June-Sept)

Goddard Space Flight Center

NASA

Viewant M

All flight mirrors complete 2011

Mirrors in storage for now

Primary Mirror Backplane Support

WEST

Pathfinder: Dry runs of telescope integration, secondary deployment, and cryo-optical test

Trial Assembly with Flight Spare Segment & Backplate Test Article

First 5 layer deployment test 2 weeks ago, here in Los Angeles

Apollo Program in Chamber-A

Refurbished Chamber-A test facility, NASA Johnson Space Center

Project Milestones

- 2014: Manufacturing the spacecraft, & testing the instruments
- 2015: Telescope Integration
- 2016: Observatory assembly
- 2017: Observatory Testing
 Cycle I proposals due November 2017

 2018: Observatory Test ⇒ Launch

Factors affecting JWST coronagraphy

JWST will have exquisite sensitivity

Low infrared background in space

Large aperture cryogenic telescope

Field of Regard and Orientation are constrained

35°

49.8% of the sky at a time

Pitch: 85°-135° from the sun

Continuous Viewing Zone above $\pm 85^{\circ}$ at ecliptic poles

Roll: Only $\pm 5^{\circ}$ at any one time

non-instantaneous roll depends on ecliptic latitude. 0-360 range at poles; only 0 & 180 at equator.

85°

The telescope will be actively aligned

Commissioning

- Post Launch Deployments
- Converging from millimeters to nanometers of misalignment
- Approx. from 40 to 120 days after launch

Time in weeks \longrightarrow See Gersh-Range & Perrin, 2014

Simulation for wavefront error vs time during maintenance

Maintenance

- Thermal perturbations are primary driver on long time scales. (hours to weeks) Dynamic effects, vibration on short time scales (seconds to hours)
- Thermal e-folding time constant ~ 5 days;
 JWST is *never* in thermal equilibrium, but it's a slow drift.
- Planned sensing cadence is every 2 days.

We're developing control strategies to maximize stability

- Study using semianalytic model (derived from results of detailed finite element modeling) to estimate temperature and WFE evolution for SODRM-derived schedules.
- Method I: Add weighting function to schedule generation to minimize thermal drifts. Tradeoffs vs. added scheduling complexity.
- Method 2: Predictive thermal+optical model could estimate corrections in advance. Appears promising even with model uncertainties.

Jessica Gersh-Range & Perrin 2014, JATIS, in press.

So how stable will the telescope be?

- Benefits both exoplanet imaging & transit spectrophotometry, & lots more...
- JWST requirement is < 54 nm WFE stability over 2 weeks for the maximum possible attitude change *before* applying wavefront control.
- High fidelity integrated finite element modeling has not yet been completed for realistic coronagraphic science cases.
- But based on simplified models and latest (2014) optical performance budget, it appears not unreasonable to expect...
 - <20 nm delta wavefront error on arbitrarily long timescales after active control, limited by sensing precision & control model accuracy.
 - 2-3 nanometer delta wavefront error over 12 hours, with mid-spatial frequency WFE mostly from vibration.
 - *sub-nanometer* stability for back-to-back PSF star observations.

JWST Coronagraph Science

NIRCam coronagraphs: Band Limited Lyot

I-5 μm

5 Band-limited coron. 3 circular, 2 wedge

- Optimized for 2.1 4.6 μm.
 22 wide, medium, narrow filters from 0.7-4.8 μm
- Spot occulters provide 360° azimuthal coverage for disk observations and planet search.
- Wedge occulters provide better diffraction suppression at small separations for characterization of known planets, and allow selection of inner working angle.
- Inner working angles \sim 4-6 lam/D
- See Krist et al. 2007, 2010; Beichman et al. 2010.

Circles above are 0.6 and 1.4 arcsec (4 and 8 lam/D)

MIRI Coronagraphs: Quad Phase Masks + Lyot

5-25 µm

3x 4 quadrant phase masks at 10.65, 11.4, 15.5 µm

to get NH₃ abundances and continuum slope

Inner working angle ~ I lam/D

Classical Lyot coron for 23 µm

Inner working angle = 2.1 arcsec

Also: imaging with any other NIRCam filter, using the spot or its narrow support bar but no matching Lyot stops

MIRI Lyot masks

~2 µm

~4.5 µm

10-15 μm

What about disks?

Stapelfeldt et al. 2004

Model disk based on HD 141569

PSF subtractions assuming 5 nm rms delta WFE

Krist et al. 2007

Disk Compositions through Imaging

from J. Lebreton

from A. Boccaletti

Colors, albedos, phase functions of scattering particles Ices at 3.3 µm Silicates at 10-11 µm

NIRCam & MIRI Integral Field Spectrographs. R~700 - 2700, from 0.7 to 27 µm. How much can we do with PSF subtraction with these image slicers?

NIRISS Aperture Masking Interferometry

- 7 hole nonredundant mask in NIRISS pupil wheel. 21 baselines.
- Inner working angle about 70 mas
- 5% filters at 3.8, 4.3, 4.8 μm
- 25% filter at 2.7 µm (undersampled but image plane forward model algorithms enable model fitting.)

Sivaramakrishnan et al. 2009, 2010, 2012, Ford et al. 2014, Greenbaum et al. in prep.

NIRISS Aperture Masking Interferometry

Followup to small separation planets

Imaging disks, AGN, quasar hosts...

I arcsec FOV = 50 pc at 10 Mpc distance Imaging at 100:1 contrast at subarcsec scales at 4.8 μ m

Figures from Sivaramakrishnan & Artigau 2014 (article in next month's STScI newsletter)

Transits, transits, transits...

- JWST will excel at transit and eclipse spectrophotometry.
 - Photon noise limited SNR \sim 3-8x better than HST or Spitzer
 - Exquisite spectra of gas giants from 0.7 to beyond 10 $\mu m.$
 - But not transiting Earth twins.
- Wide spectral range & various resolutions -
 - NIRISS: 0.6-2.4 $\mu m, R$ ~700, entire range simultaneously, slitless, and cross-dispersion defocused for enhanced dynamic range
 - NIRSpec: 0.7-2.5 µm, R~100, 1000, 2700, square 1.6" aperture,
 - NIRCam: can be defocused w/ weak lenses for very bright star photometry 2.5-5 µm, R~1700 slitless spectroscopy
 - MIRI: 5-12+ µm, R~70, slitless spectroscopy
- TESS & JWST synergy. PLATO beyond 2024.

Complimentary strengths for exoplanet imaging in the 2020s

High Contrast AO

Jovian planet detection

Disk imaging in the near-IR

Higher angular resolution

Smaller inner working angles

Polarimetry

Young Jupiter twins

Some results in visible light

Planet spectroscopy & characterization Disk imaging & spectroscopy in the mid-IR Greater sensitivity Larger field of view Total intensity with fewer subtraction biases Young Saturn twins Fainter (younger/farther) science targets

JWST

The bottom line

- Active and adaptive optics are essential for extending our vision with future large telescopes, from both Earth and space.
- JWST is "Keck 1990 in space": Active, but not adaptive, optics enable a giant leap in aperture above Hubble's "Mt Wilson 100 inch in space."
- Future high contrast imaging missions will use "Extreme AO in space" to spectroscopically characterize nearby worlds.
 AFTA Coronagraph: Jovian & Neptunian planets
 ATLAST: Terrestrial planets in habitable zones
- Whatever we do, it will take a decade or two per mission, and cost "one great observatory quantum"; Bringing such missions into reality will take both technological *boldness* and management *discipline*.

The Hubble Space Telescope's road to space has been both long and rocky. Problems within the project itself and with the Space Shuttle have forced numerous launch delays. As a result, HST's development took more than twice as much time and money as originally intended.

Courtesy of Duccio Machetta, shown at ''20 years of HST'' meeting in Venice 2010

JWST Mirrors Completed in 2011

Mirror	Measured (nm rms SFE)	Uncertainty (nm rms SFE)	Total (nm rms SFE)	Req (nm rms SFE)	Margin (nm rms SFE)
Primary Mirror (18 mirror composite)	23.6	8.1	25.0	25.8	6.4
Secondary Mirror	14.7	13.2	19.8	23.5	12.7
Tertiary Mirror	18.1	9.5	20.5	23.2	10.9
Fine Steering Mirror	13.9	4.9	14.7	18.7	11.6

Secondary Mirror

Slide from Lee Feinberg

Mirror Fabrication and Test Now Complete (As Run Schedule)

Slide from Lee Feinberg

JWST Imaging Sensitivity

JWST Spectroscopic Sensitivity

Bandpass	400 – 1000 nm	Measured sequentially in five ~10% bands
Inner working angle	100 – 250 mas	~3λ/D
Outer working angle	0.75 – 1.8 arcsec	By 48x48 DM
Detection Limit	Contrast ≤ 10 ⁻⁹ (after post processing)	Cold Jupiters, Neptunes, and icy planets down to ~2 RE
Spectral Resolution	~70	With IFS, R~70 across 600 – 980 nm
Spatial Sampling	17 mas	Nyquist for λ~430nm