Introduction to Interferometer and Coronagraph Imaging

Wesley A. Traub NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory and Harvard-Smithsonian Center for Astrophysics

Michelson Summer School on Astrometry Caltech, Pasadena 25-29 July 2005 Goals of this talk:

- 1. Learn to think in terms of wavelets.
- 2. Learn how to calculate the interference of wavefronts for any optical system.
- 3. Learn how to separate astrophysical from instrumental effects.
 - 4. Hear about coronagraphs and speckles.

Note: We discuss optical methods ($\lambda < 10 \ \mu m$) of wavefront detection here (homodyne detection). We do not discuss radio methods (heterodyne detection).

Photons and Waves

Basic photon-wave-photon process

We see **individual photons**. Here is the **life history** of each one: Each photon is emitted by a **single atom** somewhere on the star. After emission, the photon acts like a **wave**.

This wave expands as a **sphere**, over 4π steradians (Huygens). A portion of the wavefront enters our telescope **pupil(s)**.

The wave follows all possible paths through our telescope

(Huygens again).

Enroute, its **polarization on each path** may be changed. Enroute, its **amplitude on each path** may be changed,. Enroute, its **phase on each path** may be changed.

At each possible detector, the wave "senses" that it has followed these **multiple** paths.

At each detector, the electric fields from all possible paths are **added**, with their polarizations, amplitudes, and phases. Each detector has **probability** = amplitude² to detect the photon.



Fourier optics vs geometric optics

Fourier optics (or physical optics) describes ideal diffraction-limited optical situations (coronagraphs, interferometers, gratings, lenses, prisms, radio telescopes, eyes, etc.):
If the all photons start from the same atom, and follow the same many-fold path to the detectors, with the same amplitudes & phase shifts & polarizations, then we will see a diffraction-controlled interference pattern at the detectors.

In other words, waves are needed to describe what you see.

Geometric optics describes the same situations but in the limit of zero wavelength, so no diffraction phenomena are seen. In other words, **rays** are all you need to describe what you see.



Huygens' wavelets --> Fraunhofer --> Fourier transform

The phase of each wavelet on a surface Tilted by theta = x/f and focused by the Lens at position x in the focal plane is

$$\phi(z) = 2\pi x \sin(\theta) / \lambda$$
$$\simeq 2\pi x \theta / \lambda$$

The sum of the wavelets across the potential wavefront at angle theta is

$$A_{tel}(\theta) = \sum (\text{wavelets})$$

$$= \int_{pupil} e^{i\phi(x)} dx \qquad A$$

$$= \int_{-D/2}^{+D/2} e^{i(2\pi x\theta/\lambda)} dx$$

$$= \frac{\lambda}{2\pi i\theta} \left[e^{+i\pi\theta D/\lambda} - e^{-i\pi\theta D/\lambda} \right]$$

$$= \frac{\sin(\pi\theta D/\lambda)}{\pi\theta D/\lambda} D$$



Fourier relations: pupil and image

- We see that an ideal lens (or focussing mirror) acts on the amplitude in the **pupil plane**, with a **Fourier-transform** operation, to generate the amplitude in the **image plane**.
- A second lens, after the image plane, would convert the **image-plane** amplitude, with a second **Fourier-transform**, to the plane where the initial **pupil is re-imaged**.
- A third lens after the **re-imaged pupil** would create a **re-imaged image** plane, via a third **FT**.
- At each stage we can **modify the amplitude** with masks, stops, polarization shifts, and phase changes. These all go into the **net transmitted** amplitude, before the next FT operation.

Summation of wavelets

Born and Wolf (7th edition, p. 428) define the wavelet summation integral as the Fourier-transform relation between amplitude in the pupil $A_{in}(x,y)$ and amplitude in the focal plane $A_{out}(u,v)$.

Image amplitude = Sum of wavelet amplitudes

$$A_{out}(u,v) = \frac{1}{\lambda f} \int A_{in}(x,y) e^{-ik(xu+yv)/f} dx dy$$

where

$$|A(x,y)|^2 = energy / area = Intensity$$

Simplify: (1) 2D \rightarrow 1D; (2) coef.= 1; (3) u/f = θ = angle in focal plane.

Derive singletelescope response to point source



Single telescope again
add crustmat
$$\begin{bmatrix} A_{i+1}(0) = \int_{-D/2}^{+D/2} e^{i(2\pi \frac{\pi}{2} + \phi)} dx \\ -D/2 \end{bmatrix} = \int_{-D/2}^{+D/2} dx = \frac{\min(\pi \partial D/\lambda)}{\pi \partial D/\lambda} e^{i} \\ I_{tel} \quad is \quad in changed,$$

add off-axis $\begin{bmatrix} A_{tel}(0) = \int_{-D/2}^{+D/2} e^{i(2\pi \frac{\pi}{2} + \theta)/\lambda} dx \\ -D/2 \end{bmatrix} dx = \frac{\sin(\pi (\theta - \theta_0) D/\lambda)}{\pi (\theta - \theta_0) D/\lambda} \\ I_{tel} \quad is \quad skifted to \quad center of the 0.$
add obase $\frac{step(merris)}{\frac{1}{2} + e^{i}(\theta)} = \int_{0}^{+D/2} e^{i(2\pi \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2})} dx + \int_{0}^{0} e^{i(2\pi \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2})} dx \end{bmatrix} / J$
 $I_{tel}(\theta) = \int_{0}^{+D/2} e^{i(2\pi \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{2$

Derive interferometer (2-tel.) response



13

Binary star interferograms



Delay Offset (em)

Model interferogram for a binary star, with well-separated fringe packets.

Observed interferogram of a very wide-spaced binary. CCD detector, no filter, IOTA interferometer, 1996 data.

Derive uniform disk response

Add up (incoherent) fringe patterns from disk :
intensity.
$$I_{usp}(\theta) = \sum_{\substack{e_{L} \ disk}} (intensities) = \int_{sq.disk} I_{int}(\theta - \theta_{x}) \cdot d\theta_{u} d\theta_{y} / \int_{di} \frac{1}{\theta_{usp}/a} = \int_{-\theta_{disk}/a} I_{tri}(\theta) \cdot \frac{1}{2} [1 + \cos 2\pi(\theta - \theta_{x}) B/\lambda] \cdot \theta_{disk} \cdot d\theta_{x} / \theta_{disk}^{2}$$

 $= I_{tri}(\theta) \cdot \frac{1}{2} [1 + (\frac{\sin \pi B\theta_{disk}/\lambda}{\pi B\theta_{disk}/\lambda}) \cdot \cos \frac{2\pi\theta B}{\lambda}]$
visibility. $V_{usp} = \frac{\sin (\pi B \theta_{disk}/\lambda)}{\pi B \theta_{disk}/\lambda}$, square disk.
 $V_{up} = \frac{2 J_{1}(\pi B \theta_{disk}/\lambda)}{\pi B \theta_{disk}/\lambda}$, round disk.
1st zero. $V_{up} = 0$ when $\theta_{disk} = 1.22 \lambda/B$, $B = 1.22 \lambda/\theta_{disk}$
phase. phase = $[0 \quad inside \quad odd \quad lobes]$
example 1, see next vargraph from Barni Wolff.

Uniform disk: interferograms



Beam pattern on sky.

Think like a radio astronomer. The antenna pattern is considered to be projected out from the receiver horn a antenna a array onto the sky. As you more the antenna, or change the phase at an array element, the pattern sweeps across the sky. The received signal is the convolution of the moving pattern and the sources in the sky. A sinusoidal pattern picks out the fourier component at that spacings of fringes.



Van Cittert-Zernike theorem

Michelson's stellar interferometer

Suppose we decouple the collecting aportures at B from the telescope feed aportures at B. The cohoronce is measured by B. **HD**-H The display pattern is set by Bo- $I_{int}(\theta) = I_{tel}(\theta) \cdot \frac{1}{2} \left[1 + \left(\frac{\sin \pi B \theta_{divk} / \lambda}{\pi B \theta_{min} / \lambda} \right) \cos \left(2\pi \theta B_{tel} / \lambda \right) \right]$ degree of medulation indep. of O modulation vs B envelope with pervised indeps of B and Back . magnification. So can make Bo any convenient value. Michelson used Boe 1.14 so the frings width is $\theta_{int} = \frac{\lambda}{2B_{i}} = 0.045$ aresee. Assume his eye had $\theta_{eye} = 1.22 \frac{\lambda}{5-m} = 25$. mesec. Magnity But to match Baye with exercise M, $M = \theta_{eye} / \theta_{het} = \frac{25}{100} \approx 600$. details. Tilt plate gives angle notion , + superposes images, i.e., makes wavefrmits parallel at entrance papil. Wedge plates give variable thickness, to componsate for tilt plate's thickness, is., makes all color wavefronts arrive at same time as in other beam.

Image-plane interferometer



Pupil-plane interferometer



Colors in interferogram



Nulling

Nulling interferometer (Bracewell)



Stellar interferometer (Michelson)





Theta² nulling





24

Theta⁴ nulling



The amplitude from all 4 apartures is, assuming the outer ones have relative weight E $A_{-} = e + e - e - (-e e)$ = $2i \cdot \{ sin (k \theta r) - \frac{\epsilon}{sin} (k \theta r') \}$ where we have added exactly it retardation to flip the sign. $A_{-} \simeq k \theta (r \cdot \varepsilon r') - \frac{(k\theta)^{3}}{3!} (r^{3} - \varepsilon r^{3}) + \cdots$ =0 if $\varepsilon = \tau/r'$ Then $A_{-} \simeq -(\underline{k} \Theta r)^{3} \cdot (1 - \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}) + \cdots$ 1111111111 star So 2 extra mirrors, or petals, will work, provided that phase control exists.

Multiplexing in the image plane



Multiplexing in the pupil plane



FOV. The schemes above have a $FOV < \Theta_{tel}$, i.e. small, because output pupils \pm scaled input pupils.

Golden rule



Figure 4.9: Geometry for 2 element Michelson interferometer.

Output pupil must be a scaled version of input pupil in order to obtain a wide field of view.



Pupil densification



optics. Multiple mirrors form a sparse paraboloidal giant mirror, and a lens (FL) in the focal plane forms a pupil image on a pair of lenstarays 13 and 12-having short and tang focal lengths respectively. This setup-entarges the subpupils in the exit aperture compared to those in the entrance aperture, producing a tisable image on the carners.

A. Labegrie, Science, 1999 or 2000

Instrumental effects: 1

30

Instrumental effects: 2

Non-Statures. If the wavefronts have rms porturbations
$$\delta$$
, then
 $\frac{1}{4} \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$

Filter and interferogram shapes



32

Measuring visibility

Al4 steps. Change x by (Al4)=(0,1,2,3), measure I(x),
calculate V~
$$\frac{O-2+1-3}{O+1+2+3}$$
 Al
many A surep. Change x = ot and repeat in triangle wave.
- fit theoretical wave packet to time data;
- calculate IFFT1² & ratio high freq. to low;
- wavelet analysis.
dispensed Allow atmosphere to give few A path variation of x

channel

spectrum .

calculate peak-to-valley variations at each 7.

33

Strehl: 1

Strehl ratio is approximately $S = e^{-\phi^2} = exp(-\phi^2)$ where ϕ is the rms phase error across a wavefront.

Observed visibility is the product of 3 terms:

 $\mathbf{V}_{observed} = \mathbf{S}_{atmos} \mathbf{S}_{instrum} \mathbf{V}_{object}$

Instrumental Strehl ratio is the product of many terms:

 $S_{instrum} = S_{servo} S_{flat} S_{align} S_{diffraction} S_{flux} S_{overlap} S_{vibration} S_{window} S_{polarization}$

Strehl: 2

Atmospheric variance, with tip-tilt removed by a servo system with bandwidth v/ π D, is $\phi^2 = (0.134 + 0.096)(D/r_0)^{5/3}(\lambda_0/\lambda)^2$

Wavefront flatness variance from mirror surfaces is $\phi^2 = \phi_1^2 + \ldots + \phi_n^2$

Mirrors are often specified in terms of surface peak-to-valley where an empirical relation is PV = 5.5 RMS

Polarization and visibility



S and P refer to the electric vector components perpendicular and parallel to the plane of incidence. For a curved mirror, these axes vary from point to point.

Visibility reduction factor

Beam 1:
$$\vec{A}_1 = (A_x, A_y)_1 = a_1 e^{ik_3} (1, e^{ik_2})$$

Beam 2: $\vec{A}_2 = (A_x, A_y)_2 = a_2 e^{ik(3+2)} (1, e^{ik_2})$

Combined: $I = |\vec{A}_1 + \vec{A}_2|^2$

$$I = \overline{I} \cdot \left[1 + \left(\frac{2a_1 a_2}{a_1^2 + a_3^2} \right) \cdot \cos\left(kl + \frac{4}{2}\right) \cdot \left| \cos \frac{4}{2} \right| \right]$$
visibility modulation polarization term term term

where $\phi = \phi_2 - \phi_2$ = relative phase shift between 2 beams.

Single-mode fiber optics
Single-mode fiber optics
Core [Core index
$$m_1$$
, radius a $(m_1 - 1.48, a - 2\mu m)$.
Cladding index m_2 , radius b $(m_2 - 1.46, b - 60\mu m)$.
Incident / exit cone sin $\dot{c} = (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2} \equiv NA$ $(\dot{i} - 14^{\mu} + 1/2.0)^{\mu_2}$
Dispersion -intermedal = 0 for SM Siber.
- material j balance there to get zero.
Naveguide J balance there to get zero.
Waveguide $V = \frac{2\pi}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow geometric optics.
Coupling. $1 = \frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_2^2)^{\mu_2}$ > 10 \Rightarrow $\frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_1^2 - m_1^2)^{\mu_1}$ = $\frac{1}{\lambda_0} a (m_$

Injecting starlight into a fiber

• Efficiency set by the overlap integral: in the focal plane: $\rho = \left| \int E_{tel} E_{fibre}^* \right|^2$ => the field *amplitudes* must match



Integrated optics: 1





Figure 1. Description of the IONIC-IOTA three-way beam combiner. Three inputs are splitted with three "Y" junctions to provide a pairwise beam combination with another set of three couplers



Figure 2. Schematic description of the LONIC banch (see text for details)

Integrated optics: 2











Image-plane Coronagraphs: a Very Quick Introduction

Current ground-based coronagraph examples



Ref: McCarthy & Zuckerman (2004); Macintosh et al (2003)



Ref.: Sivaramakrishnan et al., ApJ, 552, p.397, 2001; Kuchner 2004.

44

Band-limited (1 - sin x/x) mask



Image-plane coronagraph simulation



Perturbation #1: ripples and speckles

Phase ripple and speckles

Suppose there is height error h(u) across the pupil, where $h(u) = \sum_{n} a_{n} cos(2\pi nu/D) + b_{n} sin(2\pi nu/D) = ripple$ The amplitude across the pupil is then $A(u) = e^{ikh(u)} \approx 1 + ik[\sum_{n} a_{n} cos(2\pi nu/D) + b_{n} sin(2\pi nu/D)]$

In the image plane at angle α the amplitude will be $A(\alpha) = \int A(u) e^{ik\alpha u} du$ $= \delta(0) + (i/2) \sum_{n} [(a_{n}-ib_{n})\delta(k\alpha-Kn) + [(a_{n}+ib_{n})\delta(k\alpha+Kn)]$ where we use $K = 2\pi/D$. The image intensity is then $I(\alpha) = \delta(0) + (1/4) \sum_{n} (a_{n}^{2}+b_{n}^{2}) [\delta(k\alpha-Kn) + \delta(k\alpha+Kn)] = speckles$ at $\alpha = \pm n\lambda/D$

If we add a deformable mirror (DM), then $a_n \rightarrow a_n + A_n$ and $b_n \rightarrow b_n + B_n$ Commanding $A_n = -a_n$ and $B_n = -b_n$ forces all speckles to zero.

Phase + amplitude ripple and speckles

Suppose the height error h(u) across the pupil is **complex**, where $h(u) = \sum_n (a_n + ia_n')cos(Knu) + (b_n + ib_n')sin(Knu) = ripple$ i.e., we have both **phase and amplitude** ripples (= errors).

The image intensity is then $I(\alpha) = \delta(0) + (1/4) \sum_{n} [(a_n+b_n')^2 + (b_n-a_n')^2] \delta(k\alpha+Kn) + [(a_n-b_n')^2 + (b_n+a_n')^2] \delta(k\alpha-Kn)] = \text{speckles}$

If we add a deformable mirror (DM), and command

 $A_n = -(a_n - b_n') \text{ and } B_n = -(b_n + a_n')$ Then we get $I(\alpha) = \delta(0) + \sum_n \left[(b_n')^2 + (a_n')^2 \right] \delta(k\alpha + Kn) \leftarrow \text{bigger speckles} + \left[\mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} \right] \delta(k\alpha - Kn) \right] \leftarrow \text{smaller (zero) speckles}$

So in **half the field of view** we get **no speckles**, but in the other half we get stronger speckles.

Phase ripple and speckles



So, we discussed these topics:

- 1. Thinking in terms of wavelets.
- 2. Calculating the interference of wavefronts for any optical system.
- 3. Learning about astrophysical vs instrumental effects.

4. A teaser about coronagraphs and speckles.