<u>High Contrast Interferometry:</u> <u>Nulling Interferometry and</u> <u>Differential Phase</u>

Gene Serabyn Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology

# <u>Outline</u>

- Extrasolar Planets and Exozodiacal Disks in the Infrared
- Two Interferometric Direct Detection Methods
- Differential Phase
- Nulling Interferometry
- The Keck Interferometer Nuller: A Few System Level Issues
- Future Plans: Nulling from Space

# Extrasolar Planets and Exozodiacal Disks in the Infrared

#### **Planet Detection Methods: Indirect**

 $\rightarrow$ 

- **Indirect Methods**: perturbations to stellar parameters:
  - Stellar Velocity
  - Stellar Position
  - Stellar Intensity

- **Radial Doppler Shifts** 
  - Astrometry
    - Transits,

Microlensing,



#### Problem I: Small Angular Scales

Earth Orbital Radius at 10 pc  $\Leftrightarrow$  0.1 arc seconds Saturn Orbital Radius at 10 pc  $\Leftrightarrow$  1 arc second

• To see terrestrial planets in the "habitable zones" of nearby stars, need to be able to observe to within about 50 milli-arc seconds of bright stars

#### Problem II: High Contrast Ratio

#### <u>Terrestrial Planets:</u>

- Reflected optical flux
  - = a few 10<sup>-10</sup> of star
- Thermal emission contrast
   a few 10<sup>-7</sup> of star
- Fluxes are faint, but detectable
- But diffracted/scattered stellar light, and zodiacal & exozodical emission are much brighter





## <u>The Payoff: Mid-Infrared Planetary Spectroscopy</u> <u>of Terrestrial Planets</u>

- Presence of a terrestrial planet atmosphere: CO<sub>2</sub>
- Search for H<sub>2</sub>O: precondition for life?
- Search for life: nonequilibrium species -

O<sub>2</sub>, or O<sub>3</sub> as surrogate, perhaps CH<sub>4</sub>

• Giant planet atmospheres



## Jovian Planets & Hot Jupiter spectra

- Jovian analogs: Comparable contrast: larger, but farther out and cooler
- Young Jupiters: Bright, but need nearby young stars
- Hot Jupiters:

Much brighter:

contrast  $\approx 10^{-3}$  to  $10^{-4}$  in the IR

But much closer in:

need high angular resolution Contrast changes from ≈ 10<sup>-3</sup> to 10<sup>-4</sup> across NIR



#### Problem III: Not Just Stars and Planets

• Distance =  $10 \text{ pc}; \lambda = 10 \mu\text{m};$ 

MIR flux

2.2 Jy

200 µJy

2 μJy

0.3 µJy

• Signal strengths:

G2 star Exozodiacal emission (1 Zodi) Jupiter Earth

- Background fluxes: Zodiacal emission 800 μJy Sky (emissivity = 0.1) 30 Jy
- Integrated exozodiacal emission may be much brighter than 10 µm planetary emission



#### Dust Disks around Nearby Stars



- Cold dust primarily at > 30 AU, Kuiper-belt-like radii; not zodiacal disks
- Exo-zodiacal disks much closer in; how bright are they for typical stars?

# **Interferometry Science Goals**

- NIR:
  - Bright hot Jupiters:

Large NIR spectral slope suggests differential measurement vs. wavelengths: differential phase

- MIR:
  - Contrast ratio OK on exozodiacal dust and hot Jupiters:
  - Null star out and measure fluxes directly

Staged Development of Nulling Interferometry

- Ground-based nullers on large-telescope interferometers (Keck, LBT, VLTI):
  - lower contrast sufficient to detect exo-zodiacal disks and perhaps super-bright planets
- Spaced-based nullers:
  - Higher contrast and stability to detect terrestrial planets (TPF<sub>n</sub>, DARWIN)

#### Interferometric Direct Detection Methods

**Detection of Hot Jupiters with Differential Phase** 

Convert intensity ratio to phase shift for binaries with different spectra



# Nulling Interferometry

- Place destructive interference fringe across star
- Detect off-axis planets or disk emission through constructive fringes



**Differential Phase** 

DP fringes



• Fringe phase is a function of  $\lambda$  if the two sources have different spectra

# DP signal

- Combined signal =  $A + Be^{i\phi}$ , with B << A and  $\phi = kb\theta$
- $tan\psi = Bsin\phi / (A + Bcos \phi) \approx Bsin\phi / A$
- $Max(\psi) \approx B/A$

 $\approx 10^{-4}$  radians at 2  $\mu$ m

- $\thickapprox 3 \times 10^{\text{-4}} \, \text{radians}$  at 4  $\mu m$
- Differential Phase =  $\psi_1 \psi_2 \approx B_1/A_1 B_2/A_2$ is roughly the difference in the planet/stellar flux ratio at the two wavelengths, 1 & 2
- Size of DP = few tenths of milliradians at most
  requires relative phases to about 100 picometers

# Expected DP for known Hot Jupiters

| nrad) |
|-------|
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |
|       |

# **Calibration Procedure**

- Use zero DP star to accurately calibrate DP phase
- Build highly accurate/linear fringe scanner with metrology to linearize scan
- Water vapor fluctuations on a good night on Mauna Kea produce a DP signature 1000 times larger than the astrophysical signal
- Use known spectrum of water refractivity to distinguish between water and astrophysical signal

Nulling Interferometry



#### Achromatic Destructive Interference

- Normal ``constructive'' 2-beam interferometer:  $I_{out} = I_{in} (1 + V \cos \varphi) / 2$
- Bandwidth limitation to destructive interference minima:

$$\frac{I_{\min}}{I_{\max}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( 1 - \operatorname{sinc} \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Delta \lambda}{\lambda} \right)$$

• For bandwidths of 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50%, the deepest cancellation is 0.05, 0.2, 0.8, 1.8, 3.2, and 5%.

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

• Deeper cancellation requires an achromatic approach, e.g. a relative field flip, a double-pass beam-splitter, etc.

![](_page_22_Figure_7.jpeg)

$$I_{\rm out} = I_{\rm in} \left(1 - V \cos \varphi\right) / 2$$

# **Nulling Basics**

• Introduce a wavelength-independent phase shift of 180 degrees between the two arms of an interferometer. Converts the central maximum to an achromatic destructive interference minimum (null):  $I_{out} = I_{in} (1 - V \cos \phi) / 2.$ 

Here I<sub>out</sub> is the *total* output power (for 2 input beams).

• Null depth definition:

$$N \equiv I_{\min} / I_{\max}$$

• For visibilities close to 1,

$$N = (1 - V\cos\phi)/2$$

• For V=1 and small phi,

$$N = \left(\phi / 2\right)^2$$

#### General achromatic nulling requirements

- Desire  $E_1 E_2 = 0$
- High degree of **symmetry** and **stability** required:
  - $\mathbf{E}$  fields in the two input beams oppositely oriented
  - Equal field amplitudes
  - Zero relative path difference
  - Simultaneous zero of OPD for both polarizations
  - Simultaneous cancellation at all wavelengths in the passband
  - Simultaneous zero of OPD across aperture:
    - Surfaces typically limit null depth to  $\approx$  1- Strehl ratio, or few %
    - $\Rightarrow$  wavefront cleanup with single mode spatial filter required
  - Small stellar angular diameter (singler baseline):

![](_page_24_Figure_12.jpeg)

#### EQUATIONS FOR NULL DEPTH IN THE PRESENCE OF ERRORS

Instantaneous null depth for broadband plane waves from a disk-like source (aberrations not included):

$$\mathbf{N}(\mathbf{t}) = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \left( \Delta \phi_{\mathbf{c}}(\mathbf{t}) \right)^2 + \left\langle (\Delta \phi_{\lambda}(\mathbf{t}))^2 \right\rangle + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left( \frac{\theta_{\mathrm{dia}}}{\lambda_{\mathrm{sh}}/\mathrm{b}} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \left( \Delta \phi_{\mathrm{s-p}} \right)^2 + \alpha_{\mathrm{rot}}^2 + (\delta \mathbf{I}(\mathbf{t}))^2 \right]$$

Time-average null in the presence of active OPD matching and intensity matching:

$$\overline{\mathbf{N}} = \frac{1}{4} \left[ \sigma_{\phi}^2 + \langle (\Delta \phi_{\lambda})^2 \rangle + \frac{\pi^2}{4} \left( \frac{\theta_{\text{clist}}}{\lambda_{\text{sh}}/b} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{4} (\Delta \phi_{\text{s-p}})^2 + \alpha_{\text{rot}}^2 + \sigma_{\text{I}}^2 \right]$$

Root mean square (rms) fluctuation of the null:

$$\sigma_{\rm N} = \sqrt{\frac{\sigma_{\phi}^4 + \sigma_{\rm I}^4}{8}}$$

#### Keck requirements from null depth

|                                  | Constraint  | N=1e-4     |
|----------------------------------|---|------------|
| Differential Image Rotation      | $\theta < 2\sqrt{N}$                              | < 1°       |
| Throughput Asymmetries           | $\frac{I_{diff}}{\overline{I}} < 4\sqrt{N}$       | < 4%       |
| <b>Strehl Fluctuations (1-S)</b> | $\frac{\sigma_I}{\overline{I}} < 2\sqrt{N}$       | < 2%       |
| <b>Optical Path Errors</b>       | $x < \frac{\lambda}{\pi}\sqrt{N}$                 | < 32 nm    |
| Feed Forward Time                | $\frac{t_{ff}}{t} < \left(2\sqrt{N}\right)^{6/5}$ | < 0.7 msec |
| Differential s-p Polar. Delay    | $\Delta < 4\sqrt{N}$                              | < 2.3      |
|                                  | S   |            |

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### Wavefront Cleanup

- Aberrated wavefronts prohibit simultaneous field cancellation across the wavefront. N limited to about 1-S.
- Wavefront cleanup required for deep nulls
- Effected by means of a spatial filter in output focal plane
- Only the point-spread function core is transmitted
- Limits nulling to a single spatial mode of the telescope

![](_page_27_Figure_6.jpeg)

Achromatic nulling

#### The Role of Beamsplitters

![](_page_29_Figure_1.jpeg)

**Optical Path Difference** 

Symmetric layout:

 ⇒ at zero OPD equal powers to O1 & O2
 ⇒ complementary fringes at O1 & O2
 ⇒ no null at Zero OPD
 ⇒ π/2 phase shift between r & t waves at b.s.

- Best cancellation at finite OPD
   ⇒ cancellation is chromatic
- 2 polarizations yield fringes
  - out of phase by  $\pi$
  - $\Rightarrow$  fringe patterns cancel completely
- Need to break symmetry with one extra reflection

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

#### And Add an Additional $\pi/2$ Phase Shift

- Extra mirror in one arm phases both polarizations
- Ideal BS: phase(r) phase(t) =  $\pm \pi/2$
- Thickness difference between BS & comp can give another  $\pi/2$  phase shift
- Has been taken to telescopes (UofA)
  - Stellar null depths  $\approx 0.04$
  - Dust shells detected
- But still has residual asymmetries:
  - Need amplitude match: r = t
  - Need ideal phase condition to be met: phase(r) - phase(t) =  $\pm \pi/2$
  - Unbalanced AR traversals present (symmetric "sandwich" beamsplitter removes this problem)

![](_page_31_Figure_11.jpeg)

HD 100546

#### Or flip the field: rotational-shearing interferometer

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

Laboratory single-pol results at JPL (IR & opt):

![](_page_32_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_4.jpeg)

- $\approx$  balanced nulling outputs:  $rt-r't \approx 0$
- But:
  - r is not identical to r'
  - Unbalanced AR coating passes
  - Not completely symmetric
  - Want 4-quadrant BS:

![](_page_32_Picture_11.jpeg)

# The Ideal Nuller: Completely Symmetric

- Goals:
  - completely symmetric (except for field reversal)
  - separable functionalities
- Elimination of residual asymmetries:
  - Unbalanced mirror reflections
  - Asymmetric beamsplitter coating passes
  - Unequal substrate passes
  - Unequal numbers of antireflection coating passes
- Dual-polarization operation
- Broadband operation
- Separation of Nuller Functions:
  - Field reversal
  - Phase shifting
  - Beam combination

![](_page_33_Figure_15.jpeg)

### <u>Achromatic field-flip</u> with inverted right-angle periscope pair

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Output fields and images rotated 180°
- Allows field flip prior to constructive beam combiner
- Achromatic, polarization-independent field reversal

#### Perfect addition with a reciprocal beamsplitter pair

![](_page_35_Figure_1.jpeg)

- Generally  $r \neq t$ , so single pass beamsplitters are typically not very symmetric.
- Double pass: generally rt r't  $\approx 0$ , but not = 0; However, rt' rt is identically zero.
- Reversed pair of beamsplitters yields a perfectly symmetric, constructive combiner.
- Reversed beamsplitter pairs provide this perfect constructive interference independent of polarization, wavelength, and angle-of-incidence
- Such a combiner needs to be preceded by a nearly-perfect field reversal.

#### Perfectly-symmetric, constructive 2-beam combiners

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

For nulling, precede these with a field reversal.

#### Beamsplitter Symmetry

- Coatings are not a big issue in symmetric double-pass configurations:
  - Balanced outputs have rt r't = 0
  - Phase effects cancel:  $\Phi(rt) = \Phi(r't)$
- On the other hand, BS-pair symmetry is important:
  - Coating variations
  - Substrate thickness matching
  - Substrate uniformity
  - Alignment matching
- Substrate wedge angle does break symmetry slightly

![](_page_38_Figure_0.jpeg)

- Not all fully symmetric; some are lossy; some work with singlepolarization; but all these approaches now work in principle
- The Keck Nuller needs dielectric phase plates to counteract atmospheric effects anyway, so they will be used for the nulling field reversal also.

![](_page_39_Figure_0.jpeg)

#### Field Flippers in the lab

- Periscopes
- Dielectric prisms

![](_page_40_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_40_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### The Keck and TPF mid-infrared MMZ nullers

![](_page_41_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_41_Picture_2.jpeg)

- Field reversal by dielectric plates
- Beam combination with MMZ

# Laboratory Nulling Results at JPL

- Sources: CO<sub>2</sub> laser and thermal filament
- Room temperature optics
- Dielectric field flip and MMZ nuller
- Detector so far: single-pixel LN<sub>2</sub> MCT
- Single-pixel detector as the spatial filter
- Null optimization by equalization of symmetric off-center fringes
- No intensity control needed yet
- Dual polarization results for white light
- Required symmetric beams generated by reverse pass through nuller

![](_page_42_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_42_Figure_12.jpeg)

# Dual Polarization Non-stabilized White Light Nulls on the Keck Nulling Beam Combiner

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

## Dual Polarization Non-stabilized White Light Nulls on the Keck Nulling Beam Combiner: II

![](_page_44_Figure_1.jpeg)

# CO<sub>2</sub> laser nulling on the TPF nuller

1.0E+07 1.0E+06 1.0E+05 1.0E+04 1.0E+02 1.0E+01 1.0E+00

![](_page_45_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_45_Figure_3.jpeg)

> 1,000,000:1

# MIR Lab Nulling Results: Summary

![](_page_46_Figure_1.jpeg)

- 29% BW; dual-polarization, WL nulls > 6,000:1
- 18% BW, dual-polarization, WL nulls > 10,000:1
- Keck WL performance goal of 10,000:1 for about 20% BW has been met
- Monochromatic CO<sub>2</sub> laser nulled to 1,000,000:1

<u>The Keck Interferometer Nuller:</u> <u>A Few System Level Issues</u>

# The Keck Interferometer

- Interferometry with the two 10-m Keck telescopes
- NASA-funded joint project between JPL and CARA
- Broad range of science capabilities, including nulling & DP

![](_page_48_Picture_4.jpeg)

# Stellar null depths vs. wavelength,

Nulling a G2 star on 85 m baseline

![](_page_49_Figure_2.jpeg)

# Detection of Exozodiacal Dust using Nulling

- Characterization of the exozodiacal emission level around nearby stars: a necessary preliminary to TPF
  - Keck goal: 10-solar-system equivalent zodiacal dust disk
- Two beam scales (at  $10 \ \mu m$ )
  - Aperture/ SM beam:  $\lambda$  / diameter = 200 mas
  - Interferometer:  $\lambda$  / baseline = 25 mas

![](_page_50_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_50_Figure_8.jpeg)

# Keck Nulling Approach: Dual-baseline Nulling

- Need to remove both star and thermal background
- Dual-baseline nulling
  - Send two beams to basement from each telescope
- Null star on each of two K1-K2 baselines
- Perform standard OPDscan interferometry on the two nulled outputs
  - Use rapid OPD scan between the two nulled beams to measure exozodi fringe
- MIR OPDs stabilized by fringe tracking at 2 mµ

![](_page_51_Figure_8.jpeg)

Keck nulling beam-combiner (2 nullers and 2 cross combiners)

![](_page_52_Picture_1.jpeg)

<u>Keck Interferometer Status</u> and Near-Term Schedule

- Visibility mode functional
- Nulling beamcombiner breadboard functional at JPL
- The nuller is scheduled to ship to Keck toward the end of the year
- Shared risk science team in place
- Differential Phase next priority

<u>Future Plans:</u> Nulling from Space

#### Nulls vs. baseline length

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

- For a single nulling baseline, the null depth degrades as baseline<sup>2</sup>
- Cannot reach 10<sup>-6</sup> nulls with baselines above a few meters
- But then the broad null wipes the inner planets out as well

### <u>One Dimensional Nulling Interferometer</u> <u>Configurations for Broader Nulls</u>

![](_page_56_Figure_1.jpeg)

<u>Two Dimensional</u> <u>Nulling Interferometer</u> <u>Configurations</u>

- a) Angel cross asymmetric to wash out transmission zeros upon array rotation
- b) Five on a circle odd symmetry yields different responses to exoplanets and exozodi
- c) Five on an ellipse combines advantages of a & b
- d) Six on a triangle (Marriotti configuration)
   triple DAC with phase chopping
- e) Six on a hexagon (Laurance configuration)
   dual-bent-OASES (or other) with phase chopping
- Problems: many spacecraft, complex beam combiners, sharing telescopes between nullers

![](_page_57_Figure_7.jpeg)

# NASA TPF IR Interferometer Concept

 Linear interferometer with two to four cooled 3~4 m mirrors

- ~ 30 m boom or
- 75-1000 m baseline using formation flying
- Operate at 1 AU for 5 years to survey 150 stars

# ESA Darwin Concept

Five to six cooled telescopes arranged on a circle

![](_page_59_Picture_2.jpeg)