Dynamic Black-Level Correction and Artifact Flagging in the Kepler Data Pipeline

BRUCE D. CLARKE¹, JEFFERY J. KOLODZIEJCZAK², DOUGLAS A. CALDWELL¹

¹SETI Institute, ²NASA Marshall Space Flight Center (bruce.d.clarke@nasa.gov)

Abstract

Instrument-induced artifacts in the raw Kepler pixel data include time-varying crosstalk from the fine guidance sensor (FGS) clock signals, manifestations of drifting moiré pattern as locally correlated non-stationary noise and rolling bands in the images which find their way into the calibrated pixel time series and ultimately into the calibrated target flux time series. Using a combination of raw science pixel data, full frame images, reverse-clocked pixel data and ancillary temperature data the Kepler pipeline models and removes the FGS crosstalk artifacts by dynamically adjusting the black level correction. By examining the residuals to the model fits, the pipeline detects and flags spatial regions and time intervals of strong time-varying black-level (rolling bands) on a per row per cadence basis. These flags are made available to downstream users of the data since the uncorrected rolling band artifacts could complicate processing or lead to misinterpretation of instrument behavior as stellar. This model fitting and artifact flagging is performed within a new stand-alone pipeline module called Dynablack.

We discuss the implementation of Dynablack in the Kepler data pipeline and present results regarding the improvement in calibrated pixels and the expected improvement in cotrending performance as a result of including FGS corrections in the calibration. We also discuss the effectiveness of the rolling band flagging for downstream users and illustrate with some affected light curves.

 \mathbf{O}

35

Funding for the *Kepler Mission* has been provided by the NASA Science Mission Directorate.

Effect of Dynablack on Calibrated Pixel Time Series

Derived black-level corrections are applied to smear collateral data in CAL and both black and smear corrections are applied to target and background pixels. The plots below compare differences between masked and virtual smear pixels and FGS crosstalk-affected background pixel time series both with and without Dynablack correction. Note 20 ppm for a 12th magnitude star is 600 electrons/cadence.

Frame FGS crosstalk-affected background pixels are corrected by Dynablack

Parallel FGS crosstalk-affected background pixels are corrected by Dynablack





Time interval	Component	Baseline	Dynablack
Cadence-by-Cadence	Row Dependence	Х	х
	Column Dependence		Х
	Parallel FGS cross-talk		Х
	Frame FGS cross-talk		Х
	Moire Pattern – Rolling Bands		Monitored
	Undershoot		Monitored
Across Cadences	Time Dependence		Х
	Temperature Dependence		x

All terms in the baseline CAL black-level model were static except for the row dependence. Dynablack now enables more complete modeling and accounts for cross-cadence time and temperature trends, as well as monitoring of moiré pattern and undershoot.

Black-Level Model Component Descriptions





Fitting for Cadence-by-Cadence Black Level Model Coefficients

Below are the fitted curves (red) and raw data (black) for trailing black collateral in channels 12.1 and 20.2 for one representative Q1 long cadence. The residuals are < 0.02 DN/pixel/read. The scales include an arbitrary constant offset. The light blue points are data and residuals from regions excluded from the fit because of increased likelihood of scene dependent bias due to stars with pixel values >5000 DN/read within 400 columns of the trailing black in the excluded rows. The density of stars is higher in channel 20.2 in Q1 so the likelihood of stars very close to the trailing black is higher, leading to the evident higher number of obvious outliers in the excluded region in that channel.



Dynablack correctly removes FGS crosstalk from smear data





Flagging Intervals of Excessive Black-Level Variation Due to Rolling Bands

Histogram of Dynamic 2D Black Corrections Q1 data over all science targets







Handling Black-Level Model Coefficients over Multiple Cadences

Spatial fit coefficients vs. time for channels 2.1 and 20.2 are shown. An adaptive fitting algorithm models coefficients as either discrete, constant, time and/or temperature dependent or smoothed.







L91 (2010). [2] Caldwell, D. A., et al., "Instrument Performance in Kepler's First Months," ApJL, 713, L92-





JPL LASP LAS 💭

SAO



