

TOI-5344 b as a new <u>G</u>iant <u>E</u>xoplanets around <u>M</u>-dwarf <u>S</u>tars (GEMS)

- **Te Han** (University of California, Irvine) Exsocal 2023, Dec. 11, Caltech

I. What are GEMS?



I. GEMS



I. GEMS 1. M-dwarfs











OBA

к 2%

G D O

M-dwarfs dominate in number and have

M

- ★ larger radial velocity signals
- \star deeper transits

But they also have



OBA

M-dwarfs dominate in number and have

M

- ★ larger radial velocity signals
- \star deeper transits

But they also have

X lower luminosity



G D O

к 2%

K

%

G D O

M-dwarfs dominate in number and have

M

- ★ larger radial velocity signals
- \star deeper transits

But they also have

- **X** lower luminosity
- × spots contaminating transits



K

%

G

M-dwarfs dominate in number and have

M

- ★ larger radial velocity signals
- \star deeper transits

But they also have

- **X** lower luminosity
- **X** spots contaminating transits
- × molecular line complicating spectra













GEMS are rare in theory



6

GEMS are rare in theory





6

GEMS are rare in theory







6

GEMS are rare in theory





Are M dwarfs too small to form giant planets?



GEMS are rare in theory

... and in practice





Are M dwarfs too small to form giant planets?



GEMS are rare in theory

... and in practice





Are M dwarfs too small to form giant planets?

We have only confirmed ~ 30 GEMS, with 17 transiting.



GEMS are rare in theory

... and in practice





Are M dwarfs too small to form giant planets?

We have only confirmed ~ 30 GEMS, with 17 transiting.

TESS has been discovering many transiting GEMS.



GEMS are rare in theory

... and in practice





Are M dwarfs too small to form giant planets?

We have only confirmed ~ 30 GEMS, with 17 transiting.

TESS has been discovering many transiting GEMS.

Will GEMS keep being rare?



II. TOI-5344 b as a new GEMS



II. TOI-5344 b

TESS observed ten transits of ~3% depth



★ TOI-5344 b was identified as a planet candidate in the QLP Faint Star Search (Huang et al. 2020; Kunimoto et al. 2022).



Ruling out False-Positives:

Ruling out False-Positives:

1. No stellar companion



Ruling out False-Positives:

- 1. No stellar companion
 - a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035



Ruling out False-Positives:

- 1. No stellar companion
 - a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035
 - b. NESSI Speckle imaging



Ruling out False-Positives:

- 1. No stellar companion
 - a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035
 - b. NESSI Speckle imaging

No bright companions with $\Delta z < 3.0$ are observed between 0.2" and 1.2" of the target.





Ruling out False-Positives:

1. No stellar companion

- a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035
- b. NESSI Speckle imaging

No bright companions with $\Delta z < 3.0$ are observed between 0.2" and 1.2" of the target.



Ruling out False-Positives:

1. No stellar companion

- a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035
- b. NESSI Speckle imaging

No bright companions with $\Delta z < 3.0$ are observed between 0.2" and 1.2" of the target.

2. No Detectable Stellar Rotation Signal



Ruling out False-Positives:

1. No stellar companion

- a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035
- b. NESSI Speckle imaging

No bright companions with $\Delta z < 3.0$ are observed between 0.2" and 1.2" of the target.

- 2. No Detectable Stellar Rotation Signal
 - a. TESS GLS periodogram



Ruling out False-Positives:

- 1. No stellar companion
 - a. Gaia RUWE = 1.035
 - b. NESSI Speckle imaging

No bright companions with $\Delta z < 3.0$ are observed between 0.2" and 1.2" of the target.

- 2. No Detectable Stellar Rotation Signal
 - a. TESS GLS periodogram
 - b. ZTF* & ASAS-SN⁺ GLS periodogram





Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)



Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)

Model H 10^{-10} Ŧ Observed $\log \lambda F_{\lambda}$ (erg s⁻¹ cm⁻²) 10^{-11} 10^{-12} WЗ 10 Percent Error EE--10-501.0 10.0 Wavelength (µm)

$$M_{\star}$$
= 0.59^{+0.02}_{-0.03} M _{\odot}


Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)

 $M_{\star} = 0.59^{+0.02}_{-0.03} M_{\odot}$

 R_{\star} = 0.563 ± 0.016 R_o





Spectral Energy Distribution (SED)

 M_{\star} = 0.59^{+0.02}_{-0.03} M_{\odot}

 R_{\star} = 0.563 ± 0.016 R_o

 \Rightarrow TOI-5344 is a M0 dwarf





HPF*-SpecMatch⁺

GERS MOVEMENT ADDREE

HPF*-SpecMatch⁺

Observe M-dwarfs with known stellar properties





*Habitable-zone Planet Finder (HPF). †Stefansson et al. 2020.

HPF*-SpecMatch⁺

Observe M-dwarfs with known stellar properties





Fit a Composite



*Habitable-zone Planet Finder (HPF). †Stefansson et al. 2020.

HPF*-SpecMatch[†]





*Habitable-zone Planet Finder (HPF). [†]Stefansson et al. 2020.

HPF-SpecMatch on TOI-5344





HPF-SpecMatch on TOI-5344

*T*_{eff}= 3770 ± 88 K





HPF-SpecMatch on TOI-5344

 $T_{\rm eff}$ = 3770 ± 88 K

[Fe/H] = 0.48 ± 0.12





HPF-SpecMatch on TOI-5344

*T*_{eff}= 3770 ± 88 K

[Fe/H] = 0.48 ± 0.12

 \Rightarrow TOI-5344 has Super-so Metallicity





Comparing TESS FFI light curves





14

Ground-based photometry: the Red Buttes Observatory



 $P = 3.792622 \pm 0.000010 \text{ days}$



HPF Radial Velocity





II. TOI-5344 b 4. Results

Planetary Parameters⁺

$$M_{p} = \frac{135^{+17}}{_{-18}} M_{\oplus}$$

= 0.42^{+0.05}
-0.06 M

 $R_{\rm p}$ = 9.7 ± 0.5 R_{\oplus} = 0.87 ± 0.04 R_J

$$T_{\rm eq} = 679 \pm 14 \; {\rm K}$$



[†]from photometry & RV joint fit.

II. TOI-5344 b 4. Results

Planetary Parameters[†]

 $M_{p} = \frac{135^{+17}}{_{-18}} M_{\oplus}$ $= 0.42^{+0.05} M_{J}$

 $R_{\rm p}$ = 9.7 ± 0.5 R_{\oplus} = 0.87 ± 0.04 R_J

T_{eq} = 679 ± 14 K

Stellar Parameters

 M_{\star} = 0.59^{+0.02} -0.03 M_☉

 R_{\star} = 0.563 ± 0.016 R_{\odot}

 $T_{\rm eff}$ = 3770 ± 88 K

[Fe/H] = 0.48 ± 0.12



III. GEMS Formation



The (simple) core accretion theory

The (simple) core accretion theory





[†]Pollack et al. 1996

The (simple) core accretion theory





[†]Pollack et al. 1996

Why M dwarfs might struggle to form giants?





Why M dwarfs might struggle to form giants?

1. M-dwarf planetary disk might not have <u>enough metal</u> to form core





Why M dwarfs might struggle to form giants?

- 1. M-dwarf planetary disk might not have <u>enough metal</u> to form core
 - Kanodia 2023 (in prep) shows ~ 100 $\rm M_\oplus$ metal mass in the disk might be needed to form ~ 10 $\rm M_\oplus$ core.





Why M dwarfs might struggle to form giants?

- 1. M-dwarf planetary disk might not have <u>enough metal</u> to form core
 - Kanodia 2023 (in prep) shows ~ 100 $\rm M_\oplus$ metal mass in the disk might be needed to form ~ 10 $\rm M_\oplus$ core.
- 2. The <u>time-scale</u> of forming such core might be longer than the gas depletion time





More Saturns, less Jupiters





More Saturns, less Jupiters





More Saturns, less Jupiters



More Saturns, less Jupiters

M:
$$\left(N_{\text{Saturns}} / N_{\text{Jupiters}} = 0.42 \right)$$





More Saturns, less Jupiters





More Saturns, less Jupiters





More Saturns, less Jupiters





Planet Metal fraction⁺ of GEMS are high





[†]Calculated following Thorngren et al. 2016

Planet Metal fraction⁺ of GEMS are high

 Jupiter Metal fraction = 0.057–0.103





[†]Calculated following Thorngren et al. 2016

Planet Metal fraction⁺ of GEMS are high

 Jupiter Metal fraction = 0.057–0.103

• The high metal fraction suggests GEMS accretes relatively less gas (like Saturn)





III. GEMS Formation 3. Planet-Metallicity Correlation

Planet-Metallicity Correlation for M dwarfs



III. GEMS Formation 3. Planet-Metallicity Correlation

Planet-Metallicity Correlation for M dwarfs

Giants = $8 \leq R_p \leq 15$ Non-giants = $R_p \leq 8$



III. GEMS Formation 3. Planet-Metallicity Correlation

Planet-Metallicity Correlation for M dwarfs

Giants = $8 \leq R_p \leq 15$ Non-giants = $R_p \leq 8$

⇒ M dwarfs hosting giant planets appear to have <u>higher metallicity</u> than those hosting non-giants.


III. GEMS Formation









































THE ASTRONOMICAL JOURNAL, 167:4 (14pp), 2024 January
© 2023. The Author(s). Published by the American Astronomical Society.
OPEN ACCESS

TOI-5344 b: A Saturn-like Planet Orbiting a Super-solar Metallicity M0 Dwarf

Te Han¹⁽⁰⁾, Paul Robertson¹⁽⁰⁾, Shubham Kanodia²⁽⁰⁾, Caleb Cañas^{3,18}⁽⁰⁾, Andrea S. J. Lin^{4,5}⁽⁰⁾, Gumundur Stefánsson^{6,19}⁽⁰⁾, Jessica E. Libby-Roberts^{4,5}, Alexander Larsen⁷, Henry A. Kobulnicky⁷, Suvrath Mahadevan^{4,5}, Chad F. Bender⁸, William D. Cochran⁹^(a), Michael Endl¹⁰^(a), Mark E. Everett¹¹^(a), Arvind F. Gupta^{4,5}^(a), Samuel Halverson¹²^(a), Fred Hearty^{4,5}^(a), Andrew Monson⁸, Joe P. Ninan¹³, Arpita Roy^{14,15}, Christian Schwab¹⁶, and Ryan C. Terrien¹⁷ ² Earth and Planets Laboratory, Carnegie Institution for Science, 5241 Broad Branch Road, NW, Washington, DC 20015, USA ³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, 8800 Greenbelt Road, Greenbelt, MD 20771, USA ⁴ Department of Astronomy & Astrophysics, 525 Davey Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA Center for Exoplanets and Habitable Worlds, 525 Davey Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA ⁶ Department of Astrophysical Sciences, Princeton University, 4 Ivy Lane, Princeton, NJ 08540, USA ⁷ Department of Physics & Astronomy, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY 82070, USA ⁸ Steward Observatory, University of Arizona, 933 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85721, USA ⁹ McDonald Observatory and Center for Planetary Systems Habitability, The University of Texas, Austin, TX 78712, USA ¹⁰ Department of Astronomy and McDonald Observatory, University of Texas at Austin, 2515 Speedway, Austin, TX 78712, USA NSF's National Optical-Infrared Astronomy Research Laboratory, 950 N. Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA ¹² Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, 4800 Oak Grove Drive, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA 13 Department of Astronomy and Astrophysics, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Homi Bhabha Road, Colaba, Mumbai 400005, India ⁴ Space Telescope Science Institute, 3700 San Martin Drive, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA ¹⁵ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Johns Hopkins University, 3400 N Charles Street, Baltimore, MD 21218, USA ¹⁶ School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, Macquarie University, Balaclava Road, North Ryde, NSW 2109, Australia ⁷ Carleton College, One North College Street, Northfield, MN 55057, USA Received 2023 September 12: revised 2023 October 24: accepted 2023 October 30: published 2023 December 4

Read the paper:



https://doi.org/10.3847/1538-3881/ad09c2

Index

page

5

7

9

14

16

17

18

GEMS 1. M-dwarfs 2. Giant Exoplanets TOI-5344 b 1. Stellar Parameters 2. Photometry 3. Radial Velocity 4. Results

- I. GEMS formation
 - 1. Core accretion 19
 - 2. More Saturns, less Jupiters 21
 - 3. Planet-Metallicity Correlation



74

TESS-Gaia Light Curve (TGLC)





III. GEMS Formation 2. More Saturns, less Jupiters

A correlation between [Fe/H] and Planet density?





III. GEMS Formation 2. More Saturns, less Jupiters

A correlation between [Fe/H] and Planet density?

Kendall's Tau test:

 $\tau = 0.5268$

p = 0.0072

⇒ Suggesting a moderate correlation, but needs more data to confirm.





The good and bad of finding M-dwarf Exoplanets:

- 1. Deeper transits
- 2. Usually dimmer
- 3. We have more M-dwarfs
- 4. RV signal larger
- 5., but noisier

Giant Exoplanets around M-dwarf Stars (GEMS) are rare in theory and observation.



How to further understand these systems

GEMS JWST



Helled 2023



