# **Michelson Symposium**

# High Angular Resolution Observations of the Cool Giant V Hya

Ettore Pedretti

University of Michigan

# **Collaborators**

J. D. Monnier, University of Michigan R. Millan-Gabet, Michelson Science Center W. A. Traub, Jet Propulsion Laboratory P. Tuthill, University of Sidney W. Danchi, Goddard Space Flight Center J. Berger, Observatoire de Grenoble N. D. Thureau, University on Cambridge F. P. Schloerb, University of Massachusetts N. P. Carleton, Center for Astrophysics Sam Ragland, WM Keck Observatory, PD M. G. Lacasse, Center for Astrophysics P. A. Schuller, Center for Astrophysics

# Outline

- Introduction
- Project Aims
- Data Set
- Model Fitting
- Discussion
- Conclusion / Further Work

- <u>Carbon star</u> (C/O abundance ratio greater than unity)
- Light curve (main period of 529 days) and high luminosity ( $\sim\!10^4L\odot)$  consistent with Mira classification
- Heavy mass-loss consistent with asymptotic giant branch (AGB) stars
- Located in the region of the colour-colour diagram of the infrared astronomical satellite (IRAS) populated by AGB stars

- High speed jets (~100 km/s) inconsistent with low escape velocity of AGB stars, (precursor to planetary nebula?)
- <u>Spectral line broadening</u> in optical spectra inconsistent with slow rotation in AGB stars
- Light curve has an eclipse-like, secondary period of 6160 days (<u>compact companion</u>?)
- Jets <u>launched</u> by the companion?
- AGB star's external envelope <u>spun up by the</u> <u>companion</u> through tidal interaction?



The <u>circumstellar structure</u> of V Hya from the Sub Millimeter Array (Hirano et al. ApJ, 2005)



Data from the Infrared Optical Telescope Array (right), and the Keck aperture masking experiment (left), at K band (Millan-Gabet et al, SPIE 2003).



No evidence of asymmetries plotting the diameter versus position angle

# **Project Aims**

- Obtain an image of V Hya (difficult since the IOTA uv coverage is limited)
- Obtain a model that fit all the available data
- Obtain a model that does make sense physically
- Confirm / rule-out models proposed with different observing methods

#### **Data Set**

- IOTA data, 3 narrow band-passes: 1.50 μm, 1.64 μm, 1.78 μm, one baseline triangle, nine observed nights, from 15 April 2004 to 01 May 2004.
- Keck data, Golay mask, one observed night (28 May 2004)

# Data Set

- Extensive Coverage of lower spatial frequency from Keck.
- Holes in the uv coverage in the East-West direction due to the IOTA baselines



#### Data Set



#### IOTA / Keck combined visibility at 1.64 um





IOTA / Keck combined closure-phase at 1.64 um

# **Model Fitting**



Dust disk + elliptical star for three wave-bands





Fitting the model to IOTA / Keck combined data-set at 1.64  $\mu$ m. Reduced chi squ. = 1.78





Fitting the model to the IOTA data-set at 1.50  $\mu$ m. Reduced chi squ. = 2.7





IOTA data-set at 1.78  $\mu$ m Reduced chi squ. = 0.9



Flux from star found lower at 1.5 µm, probably caused by 20% fainter star, due to CN, CO absorption bands (Loidl et al 2001, A&A).

# Discussion



Models do not agree !!!

#### Discussion

 There is high resolution structure in the data that we cannot explain with our simple model

• Could we be seeing the binary?

• Could there be 'clumpiness' in the dust?

#### Discussion



Top half of the image shows two carbon stars from Keck aperture masking (Monnier et al, ApJ).

# **Conclusions / Future Work**

• The model fits all the available data

• The model disagrees with previous observations at millimeter and visible wavelengths

Data consistent with IOTA / FLUOR observations but asymmetries detected.

# **Conclusions / Future Work**

- New observations at IOTA with spectral dispersion (spectro project) necessary
- Obtain infrared spectrum of V Hya
- Observe at other interferometer with better uv coverage (VLTI / CHARA).