

AMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE

Exo-planet transit spectroscopy with JWST/NIRSpec

P. Ferruit / S. Birkmann / B. Dorner / J. Valenti / G. Giardino /





© esa



esa

- Instrument overview / suggested mode for transit work.
 - Generic; A1600 aperture; relevant "features".
- Bright star limits.
 - Computation; results; caveats / warnings.
- Target acquisition and pointing requirements.
 - Pointing requirements; baseline and bright object TA.
- Operational timeline and limitations.
 - Timeline; limitations.
- Simulations.

ES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

What for?; photon noise floor; some systematics;



CE TELESCOP

îT,



- NIRSpec (Near-InfraRed Spectrograph) is part of ESA's contribution to JWST.
- To fulfill its share of JWST science goals, NIRSpec had to have the following capabilities:
 - Deep multi-object spectroscopy at low (around 100) and medium (around 1000) resolution over a "wide" field of view.
 - → NIRSpec's MOS mode.
 - Spatially-resolved, single-object spectroscopy at "high" (a few thousands) spectral resolution over a "small" (a few arc seconds) field of view.

→ NIRSpec's IFU mode.

- High-contrast slit spectroscopy at various spectral resolutions, including an aperture for extra-solar planet transit observations.
- → NIRSpec's SLIT mode.



ES WEBB SPACE TELESC

Instrument overview NIRSpec in a nutshell



esa

Instrument overview NIRSpec in a nutshell



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

Slide #5

Space

European

esa





JAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI

Cesa

Exo-planet transit spectroscopy with NIRSpec – Transit meeting - March 2014



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE

Instrument overview NIRSpec in a nutshell



JWST/NIRSpec - FM2 cyrogenic test campaign 01/2013

Cesa



CETELESCO

20

S W

- MULTIACCUM readout scheme presented yesterday.
- Three different modes: full-frame, stripe and window.
 - Full-frame & stripe modes: 4 outputs read in parallel.
 - Window mode: 1 output only.



C CS



EBB SPACE TELESCOP



NIRSpec's window mode (<u>single output</u>) and its rules...

- One single rectangular window per SCA.
- The size of the windows must be identical in both SCAs but their origin can be different.
- The size of the window must be expressed as powers of 2 (e.g. 64×128) with a minimum size of 8 pixels along a given axis.
- The number of pixels in a window must be larger or equal to 1024.
- The "idle" time between exposures is ALWAYS filled with fullframe resets (<u>4 outputs</u>).
 - Constraint linked to the design of the focal plane electronics.
 - Strong change in dissipation power in the ASIC when switching between 1 and 4 outputs.
- → thermal disturbance between window-mode exposures (specific to NIRSpec).

- In order to accommodate the needs of transit spectroscopy, one of the 5 slits of NIRSpec has been turned into an aperture of 1.6" x 1.6" (labeled A1600).
 - Can be used with all spectroscopic configurations.

CE TELESCO

S WEBB

- Large enough to get rid of systematics related to aperture losses.
- Not wide enough to use the "spatial-scanning" strategy recently used for HST spectroscopic observations.





- Note the "missing wavelengths" due to the detector gap in this high spectral resolution configuration (145 spectral pixels; 4 to 8e-2 microns).
- No gap at low/medium resolution (the spectra fit onto a single detector).

es

0

- The baseline for transit spectroscopy is to use sub-arrays (socalled "window mode").
 - Full-frame readout time (4 outputs): 10.6 seconds.
 - 2048x32 window (1 output): ~0.76 seconds.

ES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

- 512x32 window (1 output, short spectra): ~0.19 seconds.
- The baseline is to retrieve all the data (no on-board frame averaging).
 - As we use a single output, data rate should not be an issue.
- The baseline is to use a minimum of 2 groups (i.e. a pair of readouts following a reset).
 - No fixed number of groups. Single group option possible.



- The PSF of JWST+NIRSpec exhibits a narrow "diffractionlimited" core over almost its complete wavelength range.
- This core is under-sampled by the 100mas pixels. •

IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCO



Cesa



• Computation of the saturation limits for NIRSpec – The rules...

- Currently, the pixel saturation in NIRSpec is set by the ADC and this corresponds to roughly 77 000 electrons.
- The minimum integration contents is one reset followed by two reads and we do not want pixel saturation to be reached before the second read.
- 2048x32 (512x32) window for the grating (prism) modes.
- The inputs...

S WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

- As required telescope collecting area and throughput.
- Using the JWST+NIRSpec photon conversion efficiency values presented at NIRSpec acceptance review.
- Taking the worst case of a PSF centered on a pixel.
- As these estimates are usually conservative in the "wrong" direction, the derived limiting fluxes have been decreased by 15% to be on the safe side.
- Need to have a consistent approach across the instruments...



- Cesa
- Good coverage at high spectral resolution. Much stronger limitations at low spectral resolution.



Sources below the lines can be observed in the full wavelength range of the given mode. The black symbols denote the host stars of known transiting exoplanets (data taken from exoplanets.org on 7 June 2013). The plot on the right shows the magnitude limits for the gratings in more detail. The difference in shape between the curves is due to the combined effect of i) using J-band magnitudes as the reference, ii) the spectral resolution of NIRSpec, and iii) the spectral energy distribution of the stars.

E TELESCOP

EBB SP

S WL

European Space Agency

Bright star limit Pushing the limit



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI

- Tuning the detector gain to get rid of the limit set by the ADC and reach the real pixel saturation. \rightarrow gain of 10-20 % (not large).
- Changing the detector bias level. → potentially a gain by a factor 2.
- Feasibility study conducted by B. Rauscher and his team using NIRSpec SCAs with the new design.
 - Two SCAs have been tested including one which is "in family" with the selected flight SCAs (i.e. flight representative).
 - Report posted on NGIN ("JWST NIRSpec High Bias for Increased Well Depth Test", B. Rauscher et al.).

Cesa

Bright star limit Pushing the limit

- esa
- Confirmed the results obtained by the team at ESO that the detectors are not damaged when the bias is pushed to 1V (baseline is 0.25V).
- Key performance elements when operating at high bias.
 - Allows to achieve well depths of typically 180 000 electrons.
 - Population of hot pixels increased by a factor 10.
- Major caveat.

AMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE

- Anomalous persistence present during several hours after changing the bias voltage (one way or another).
- Typical wait-time of 6 hours before the persistence is back to acceptable levels.
- → On NIRSpec side, we consider this rules out using a "high-bias" mode as the baseline for the window mode (we want to preserve the capability to switch rapidly between full-frame and window mode).
- → Still the possibility for a "campaign" approach if scientifically justified.

- A common misunderstanding is to mix up the needs in terms of source centering in the A1600 aperture and those in terms of stability of its positioning during the transit spectroscopy.
 - Aperture is 16-pixel wide. Geometrical PSF losses are limited to a few percent. Need to have a fairly large offset (> 1 pixel = 100 mas) to increase them significantly.
 - Note that percent-level modeling of the losses is tricky especially at short wavelengths where speckles contribute a lot.
- Robustness with respect to the source centering is expected to be quite good but has not yet been assessed.
 - Expecting the 10-15 mas required accuracy of the baseline target acquisition to be much better than necessary in our case.
 - \rightarrow Work needed.

EBB SPACE TELESCOP

 \rightarrow Baseline target acquisition may be usable even when the number of reference sources is fairly small.

es

- Recently STScI and the NIRSpec operation working group have been discussing the implementation of TA scheme specific to the A1600 aperture.
 - Imaging the host star in the A1600 aperture, computing its centroid and using it to derive the slew necessary to center it.
- On-going discussion.

EBB SPACE TELESCOP

ES WI

- Bright star limit in imaging is even more of a problem; need to use a 32x32 pixel window (minimum allowed size).
- Sanity check will be needed as the required initial pointing accuracy is still 1 arcsec (1 sigma). This is large compared to the size of the aperture.
 - Actual accuracy is expected to be better than that.
- When should we use it? Criteria?
- ➔ Work in progress.

es



S WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI

AN

Operational timeline



Cesa

Slide #19

Credit: J. Valent



ES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI

AM

Operational timeline



Cesa



AMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI

Cesa

- High-gain antenna repointing. [constraint]
 - Every ~3 hours (10ks), 1 minute duration.
 - Associated with a 100 mas perturbation during the repointing.
 - Was initially associated to a mandatory change of visit (i.e. need to restart the timeline introducing huge disturbances).

→ Change not mandatory anymore thanks to the work of STScI (acquisition continues but data will be flagged as bad during the repointing).

 Maximum visit duration is now 1 day (to be confirmed) to allow momentum dump.



ES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI



• Maximum number of integrations per exposure. [constraint]

- Maximum of 65365 integrations per exposure.
- For the shortest integrations (reset-read-read) and the baseline window sizes this corresponds to 12.5 (49.2) hours for the prism (gratings).
- The problem is that we go back to full frame between exposures.
 - Switching back to 4 outputs. Thermal disturbances in the detector system.

→ Do as much as possible within single exposures...



AMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPI

Ces

- Disturbances at the start of a visit.
 - Slewing, moving mechanisms...
 - Starting an exposure...
- Stabilization time needed.
- Need for a "pause" before starting the first exposure is TBD.
 - The switch from "idle" to window mode could be a significant disturbance and this would be an argument to start the exposure immediately.
 - Seems to have unwanted consequences on the operation side (activity with ill-defined duration).

➔ Work in progress.

- Many different approaches possible depending on the scope of the simulations.
 - Evaluation of the impact of the systematics. Getting some grip on the actual performances of the instrument.
 - ➔ focus of the presentation. See later.

Advertise the capabilities of the instrument to the community.
 Provide inputs to the community so they can assess the feasibility of their own projects.

➔ for me (PF) this should be the focus of a lot of the discussions at this meeting; I would advocate for a strong coordination to provide a JWST-level picture.

European Space Agency

© GS!



S WEBB SPACE TELESCOP



- Support development of specific ETCs. Linked to previous bullet.
 - ➔ discussion / coordination needed (so far the development of the ETCs is decoupled from the instrument teams).

• Support data challenges.

- ➔ timeline to be discussed; "garbage in garbage out" problem for this type of simulations; NIRSpec IPS software used to generate simulated raw exposures.
- Specific presentation today.





PE	Noise cont
ESCO	Photon + re
CE TELI	Changes in to changes
SPA	Intra-pixel s
WEB	Accuracy of
MES	PSF variatio
JA	Unknowns!
A CONTRACTOR OF THE OWNER OF	

Noise contributions	Key elements
Photon + readout noise	Noise floor. Provides a benchmark.
Changes in aperture losses levels due to changes in the source position.	Can be seen as NIRSpectspecific (use of an aperture).
Intra-pixel sensitivity changes.	NIRSpec PSF core is under-sampled.
Accuracy of the flat-field correction	Not addressed in this presentation.
PSF variations	Not addressed in this presentation.
Unknowns!	

European Space Agency



CE TELESCO

- Very basic first step, gives you the theoretical limit.
 - First assessment of what is possible or not, regardless of (poorly known) systematics.
 - Provides a benchmark for the evaluation of the importance / impact of various disturbances.
- Large parameter space as the results depend a lot on the transit parameters.
 - Generic cases: we will need to agree on their parameters to get a consistent picture from one instrument to the next.
 - Examples: easier but we need to a agree on a set of examples and use cases. => sharing the same inputs and transit parameters.
- Simulations for the faint-end are fairly straight forward, things become more complicated at the bright end.
 - Overheads become important and have some discrete jumps.
 - The saturation limit is not constant with wavelength.

Simulations Photon & readout noise floor



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

At the limit of what NIRSpec can do at low spectral resolution. esa

Slide #28

Simulations Photon & readout noise floor



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

A different view. Highlights the jumps associated to increases in the number of groups (and the associated increases in operational efficiency).

The prism configurations correspond to a fairly extreme case. cesa

Simulations Photon & readout noise floor



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

The situation is less extreme in the case of the gratings (lesser changes in spectral resolution). esa

Slide #30

Simulations Simulations Photon & readout noise floor



IAMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE

Balancing the signal to noise by playing with the number of groups per integration. esa

- Understanding JWST pointing stability is critical if we want to assess the impact of systematics in NIRSpec's transit spectroscopy observations.
- Need to go deeper than the top level image motion requirement of 7 mas over 10ks.
 - What happens when we push beyond 10 ks (see timeline discussion)?
 - We need to understand what happens over very different time scales.
 - Within one integration (< minute), from one integration to the next (several minutes to several tens of minutes), between in and out of transit (hours).
 - Jitter versus drift...

EBB SPACE TELESCOP

ES WI

• Work in progress (at least on NIRSpec side).

- Changes in the source centering within the A1600 aperture during a visit will change the radiometric response of the instrument.
 - More concerned by long-term drifts than by random jitter during integrations.



CE TELESCO

îT.

Prior to any calibration, changes at the 4x10⁻⁵ relative level are predicted for a drift level of 7 mas (1 sigma).

Worst case as this is the complete image motion budget.

Work conducted by B. Dorner (PhD thesis).



- Using existing intra-pixel sensitivity maps provided by R.
 Doyon. To be updated soon with maps for the new detectors.
- Work conducted by G. Giardino.



The "flat" map is the relevant one for our analysis.

The "domes" correspond to the amount of light collected by a given pixel as a function of the position of the source.

"Not collected" is different from "lost".

Exo-planet transit spectroscopy with NIRSpec – Transit meeting - March 2014

European Space Agency

esa

CE TELESCO

20

esa

- From the measured maps to a generic simulation.
 - What is a representative intra-pixel sensitivity map?
 - Exploring various solutions.



- Injecting the PSF shape in the simulations.
 - 1D profiles (continuum spectrum).
 - Simulations conducted both with simplified (Gaussian) profile and with representative profiles (PSF models).



ES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP



Cesa

ES WEBB SPACE TELESCOP

- esa
- Work in progress but overall the results indicates that in the case of NIRSpec, the intra-pixel sensitivity is likely to contribute at levels of a few 10⁻⁴ [prior to any calibration].
- → Probably a major contribution for transit spectroscopy with NIRSpec.
- → Working on developing mitigation / calibration strategies.
- Work in progress.
 - Results to be updated once the new maps are available.
 - Mitigation / calibration strategies.



CE TELESCOP

ES WEBB SPA

- Filling the template tables.
 - Primary advertisement for the instrument capabilities.
 - We need to agree on the inputs and the assumptions.

→ We need to discuss how we can achieve that in order to provide a consistent set of inputs for the white paper update.

Cesa

• Example of NIRSpec capabilities. Slides extracted from J. Valenti's presentation at the NASA EXOPAG meeting.



AMES WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE

esa

Exo-planet transit spectroscopy with NIRSpec - Transit meeting - March 2014



S WEBB SPACE TELESCOPE

Thermal emission from a hot Jupiter (secondary eclipse)

• Credit for the slide: J. Valenti (STScI).



Adapted from Figure 4b of Burrows, Budaj, & Hubeny (2008)

Slide #40

Cesa